



The Role of Fiction in Shaping the Public Perception of Science

Asiimwe Kyomugisha T.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Science fiction and other fictional portrayals of science play a significant role in shaping public perception and understanding of scientific principles, discoveries, and ethical dilemmas. Fiction serves as a bridge between scientists and the general public, introducing complex scientific ideas in accessible and engaging narratives. Historically, literature and film have influenced how society perceives technological advancements, scientific progress, and the potential consequences of innovation. While fiction can inspire curiosity and enthusiasm for science, it can also contribute to misconceptions and unrealistic expectations. This paper investigates the intersection of fiction and science communication, examining historical representations of science in fiction, its impact on public attitudes toward scientific discovery, and the ethical implications of fictionalized portrayals. Through case studies of notable works, this study highlights both the benefits and challenges of using fiction as a tool for scientific engagement and education.

Keywords: Science fiction, public perception, scientific communication, ethics in science fiction, technological impact, scientific literacy.

INTRODUCTION

In large part, it is the communication of scientific findings and ideas to a broad audience that can infuse the language of politics, policy, and culture with specific scientific content. Although traditional scientific communication impacts a variety of audiences, the expression of science through fiction genres for general audiences can provide an idiom that resonates with politically powerful elites and the public who may remain unaware of – or choose to ignore – the content of scientific communication taking place outside their social context. Fiction involving science can, in sum, serve as a bridge between scientists and those public and private institutions for which the veracity of science can influence science-informed decision-making [1, 2, 3]. If the intersection of fiction and science communication draws power from the relevance of science to the real-world concerns of an audience living today, how do novice readers of science fiction develop an interest in the genre? The often-cited comparison of science fiction to the scientific process itself does provide an answer: science fiction – as both a product and descriptor of uniquely evolving popular and real-world interests – can be an important instructional tool in the development of novices (or future users) of science and technology. Ethnographic and survey studies of readers of science fiction support the idea that the literary genre can positively influence how and why its readers conceive of science and technology as cultural objects. This positive influence is particularly true if science fiction offers its readers interpretive challenges, conflicts between characters' conflicting interpretations of the world, and interactions between differences in cultures, values, and political self-interests. By suggesting outcomes not firmly rooted in current reality, science fiction can underscore the dependencies among different subsystems in a technologically complex world, offering readers a tool to critically assess the long-term impacts of maintaining various social and economic status quos. Science fiction may, in turn, influence how its readers will value the importance of understanding technology as a

<https://rijournals.com/current-research-in-humanities-and-social-sciences/>

driver and arguably the continuous result of scientific advancement. This continuous result should ideally reflect social goals and values [4, 5, 6].

Historical Overview of Fictional Representations of Science

Science fiction is synonymous with advance and progress, exploring visions of the future, often described in detail. These works have changed the way the public thinks about what the future could hold. A chronological examination of science as it is portrayed in fiction could organize some of the most famous and effective works in terms of shaping the public perception of what science is, how it is done, and what kind of results it can yield. Because of its status as the dominant Western literary form, the novel has the strongest claim on such a chronological examination. The focus will be on novels composed since the Western tradition of the novel began in the late seventeenth century and published since the beginning of the eighteenth, as well as a handful of examinations of this subject by contemporaries of the earlier works, made for the sake of comparison. There are accounts of magic and extravagantly advanced technology to be found in earlier literature, but it is with the Enlightenment that depictions of science become not just possible but common. Depictions of doctors and alchemists date back to antiquity and even before. In certain respects, it was the public's reaction to alchemy and other such efforts that laid the foundation for the system of public support for scientific investigation that has been obtained since. After its beginnings in Victorian literature, science fiction developed into a full-fledged genre in the twentieth century. The number of authors working in this area resolved into respectably distinguishable movements, such as the Radium Age, the Golden Age, the new wave, and Cyberpunk. Some sci-entists, recognizing the tremendous potential for attracting funding, began paying attention to the questions of the modern science fiction novel. The recent explosion in the popularity of cinematic science fiction has been dominated by the visual side of visual arts. There is no analogue to the phrase 'rollicking read.' The narrative techniques of novels written in prose during that harsh and cramped era are different from those used to grab readers' attention in their own time, but there likely were some. Modernity science fiction, as understood by authors predominantly influenced by this thousand-year-old period, are stories of adventuring to exotic realms and cool technological toys, while one unlucky scientist is apt to... Well, let's not get ahead of the story [7, 8, 9].

Analyzing the Impact of Science Fiction on the Public Perception of Science

Despite inherently belonging to two different categories of truth, science and fiction have been closely interconnected for centuries. The boundaries between the disciplines of science and sci-fi become more blurred each day, as many fictions are set within realistic worlds, and many scientific concepts seem more like fantasy than reality. This analysis pays closer attention to how science fiction and its practical applications influence public consciousness concerning scientific realities. As many discerning individuals of public discourse within both science and entertainment fields hope to mold public perceptions of scientific knowledge and events, this is an important relationship to analyze. This paper is concerned chiefly with the many films, poems, stories, and shows that are consumed with the interest of public entertainment, rather than papers, lectures, and studies which are consumed by individuals who seek to better understand a particular scientific subject. Fiction is a powerful vehicle for communicating scientific ideas to a widespread audience and for inculcating tools for a new way of perceiving the world. What is considered scientifically "real" is not always provable or objective but rather a subjective "truth" that science dictates. Since Pluto's planet status has been revoked, it could be stated that it isn't really a planet, but it is widely accepted as a truth to many people despite a lack of experimental data. Similarly, for just as long as the terms "science" and "fiction" have existed, the former has exacerbated an obsession with realism in the exploration of the "natural" world, while the latter defines realism as a quality only concerned with the "normal" world. When these two concepts are combined within the framework of the dichotomous title of science fiction, the state of the public perception of the supposed scientific reality contained within said plans becomes, at best, muddled and confused, and, at worst, wide open to question. Predominately, science fiction storytelling has yielded a varied and sometimes perplexing cultural atmosphere regarding "real" science, with perceivably both positive and negative consequences. On one hand, science fiction can serve as a suggested "entry point" into the exploration of important scientific concepts, historically acting as a vital stepping stone for entire professions. Alternatively, widespread results indicate that the majority of the general population hold factual scientific beliefs that are unfounded and arise directly as a consequence of the inaccurate depictions found in popular science fiction stories [10, 11, 12].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

The Ethical and Social Implications of Fictional Portrayals of Science

We live in an age shaped, for better and worse, by the methodologies of science. Storytelling so infused with the language of empiricism is a relatively recent development. A multitude of moral and ethical questions arise when considering such portrayals of science in fictional form, the primary one being: what are the responsibilities of creators of fiction when their subject is science? Since after the fact of major, and often life-altering, scientific discoveries, creators of fiction are the primary disseminators of futurist science to the public. This concern was encapsulated by Michael Crichton in 2004. Although the polemic was widely criticized, both for the means of its delivery (in a novel) as well as its implicitly partisan content, the central claim—that authors of fiction have a social obligation to represent science accurately—is a tenable one. This begs further enquiry on the realm of creative responsibility concerning science-based fiction and what, if any, responsibilities authors should entertain. Importantly, this is just as much a criticism of fiction inditing mendaciously Pollyannaish outlooks on science as it is of opponents of genetic modification that mimic the pseudo-science of old pulp sci-fi. At any rate, the theme of genetic mutation is a particularly poignant one given that here is science eminently real yet often subject to speculative and unreal fictional depiction. Literary genetics are riddled with examples of mutation gone awry, often depicted either as nightmarish body horror or as heightened bourgeois morality plays, as witnessed in *The Island of Dr Moreau* by H.G. Wells juxtaposed with *Galápagos* by K.V. Vonnegut. Likewise, perhaps the most famous fictional geneticist in cinematic history, *Jurassic Park*'s Dr John Hammond, is popularly associated with cautionary warnings of the ethics of genetic tampering. But what exactly are the reasons this trope—and that of frankenfood and the like—resonate so powerfully with the public psyche? And what's more, what is the ethical conduct of scientists engaged in research that looks suspiciously as if it may be the first step down the hallowed path of the mad and soulless Morlock? [13, 14, 15].

Case Studies: Examining Specific Works of Fiction and Their Influence on Public Perception

The enduring dialogue between scientists and fiction writers and how the line between the two is sometimes blurred is the focus of this discussion. This text does not aim to join in the long-standing debate on whether or not the public understanding of science is improved or harmed by reading fiction or to speculate on whether fiction can or should replace popular science magazines or traditional A-level subjects. Instead, it focuses on science as a series of topics: facts, themes, subject matter popular in contemporary scientific research and debates that may be treated in both non-fiction and fiction domains, and the resulting distinctiveness of each [16, 17, 18]. In the spirit of several case studies of particular works are offered examining how fiction has been used to present, modify, celebrate, or protest against science. A wider overview of the fictional response to a range of scientific developments and the diverse approaches to science within different genres further illustrates the complexity of scientific storytelling within fiction [19, 20, 21]. Despite the vast difference between the mediums of fiction and the scientific journal, they are engaged in an ongoing exchange of ideas. Indeed, as might be expected in any such dialogue, ideas frequently pass from one side to the other. Some science is narrated rather than formulated: the first time you understand the theorem or the implication can be in the form of a retelling of it rather than the original scientific account. More broadly, some topics within science are heavily influenced by social norms and values. This is not to say that the facts and experiments behind these topics are not important, but these become wedded to and shaped by the ideas surrounding them. This amalgamation of science and its cultural discourse can be particularly fertile ground for fiction writers. Such interactions can be of benefit to both sides, providing a more complex commentary on the relationship between science and society [22, 23, 24].

CONCLUSION

Fictional narratives have long played a crucial role in shaping how science is perceived by the public. By presenting scientific ideas in compelling and imaginative ways, fiction can foster curiosity, inspire scientific inquiry, and generate discussions about the ethical and social implications of technological advancements. However, the influence of fiction is not without challenges, as it can also contribute to misinformation and unrealistic expectations about science. As the boundaries between science and fiction continue to blur, there is a growing need for responsible storytelling that balances creativity with scientific accuracy. Ultimately, the relationship between fiction and science offers a powerful means of engaging diverse audiences in scientific discourse, making complex ideas more accessible and encouraging a deeper appreciation of the role of science in society.

REFERENCES

1. Sarpong D, Boakye D, Ofosu G, Botchie D. The three pointers of research and development (R&D) for growth-boosting sustainable innovation system. *Technovation*. 2023 Apr 1;122:102581.
2. Glavovic BC, Smith TF, White I. The tragedy of climate change science. *Climate and Development*. 2022 Oct 21;14(9):829-33.
3. Pace LA, Saritas O, Deidun A. Exploring future research and innovation directions for a sustainable blue economy. *Marine Policy*. 2023 Feb 1;148:105433.
4. Schwarz JO, Wach B. The usage of cultural products in design thinking: An assessment of an underestimated approach. *The Design Journal*. 2022 Jan 2;25(1):4-24.
5. Loh CE. Reading as self-making: using mobile ethnography to examine the contemporary literate practices of middle-class adolescent girls in Singapore. In *The Ethnography of Reading at Thirty* 2023 Nov 24 (pp. 17-41). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
6. Rusiana N, Santosa MH. Students' perception on Extensive Reading (ER) through virtual book club to promote a pleasure reading. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*. 2024;45(2):503-12.
7. Bala A. The attitudes of EFL Students towards extensive reading program in digital library in private primary school (A case of Erbil). *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies*. 2022 Apr 15;9(1):383-92.
8. Bucher KT, Manning ML. Taming the alien genre: Bringing science fiction into the classroom. *Alan Review*. 2001;28(2):41-5.
9. Li T. Chinese Science Fiction during the Post-Mao Cultural Thaw by Hua Li. *Rocky Mountain Review*. 2022;76(1):142-4.
10. Alghamdi AK, Alotaibi WH. Bringing science fiction story writing to saudi science education: writing-to-learn exploratory case study. *Science & Education*. 2022 Apr;31(2):525-41.
11. Osawa H, Miyamoto D, Hase S, Saijo R, Fukuchi K, Miyake Y. Visions of Artificial Intelligence and Robots in Science Fiction: a computational analysis. *International Journal of Social Robotics*. 2022 Dec;14(10):2123-33. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
12. Hermann I. Artificial intelligence in fiction: between narratives and metaphors. *AI & society*. 2023 Feb;38(1):319-29.
13. Kenett RS, Redman TC. *The real work of data science: turning data into information, better decisions, and stronger organizations*. John Wiley & Sons; 2019 May 6.
14. Wang X, Yuan J. Myth and Cyber: A Study of the Narrative and Visual Language of Chinese Cyberpunk Anime Films. *Journal of Chinese Film Studies*. 2024 Aug 1;4(2):259-77.
15. Sidorenko-Bautista P, Castillo-Abdul B, Herranz-de-la-Casa JM, Abellán-Hernández M. Marketing, advertising, and branding in Fortnite: how do brands and companies connect today to audiences through the metaverse?. *Cogent Social Sciences*. 2025 Dec 31;11(1):2458058. [tandfonline.com](https://www.tandfonline.com)
16. Sommerland Y, Victorin MW. Writing Comics into Art History and Art History into Comics Research. *Konsthistorisk tidskrift/Journal of Art History*. 2017 Jan 2;86(1):1-5.
17. Semingson P, Kerns W. Where is the evidence? Looking back to Jeanne Chall and enduring debates about the science of reading. *Reading Research Quarterly*. 2021 May;56:S157-69.
18. Morrison L, McPherson A. Entangling entanglement: A diffractive dialogue on HCI and musical interactions. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* 2024 May 11 (pp. 1-17). [acm.org](https://www.acm.org)
19. Trench B, Bucchi M. Rethinking science communication as the social conversation around science. *Journal of Science Communication*. 2021 May 10;20(3):1-1.
20. Sovacool BK, Dunlap A. Anarchy, war, or revolt? Radical perspectives for climate protection, insurgency and civil disobedience in a low-carbon era. *Energy Research & Social Science*. 2022 Apr 1;86:102416.
21. Baldwin A. Postcolonial futures: Climate, race, and the yet-to-come. *ISLE: Interdisciplinary Studies in Literature and Environment*. 2017 Aug 1;24(2):292-305.
22. Shelkownikova Z. Strategies and Tactics of English-American Scientific Discourse Narratives in the Aspect of Language and Culture. In *International conference on scientific development in North America* 2017 (pp. 38-42).

<https://rijournals.com/current-research-in-humanities-and-social-sciences/>

23. Aziz AL. The Art of Reimagination: Literary Retellings as a Vehicle for Creative Expression. *Nasaq*. 2024;41(2).
24. Walsh R. Human cognition and narrative form. In *The Routledge Companion to Narrative Theory* 2022 Jul 18 (pp. 369-383). Routledge.

CITE ON: Asiimwe Kyomugisha T. (2025). The Role of Fiction in Shaping the Public Perception of Science. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH IN HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 4(1):37-41. <https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJCRHSS/2025/413741>