Strategies for Supporting Students with Disabilities in Schools

Mutoni Uwase N.

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Ensuring that students with disabilities receive quality education requires comprehensive strategies that address their diverse needs. This paper examines effective approaches for supporting students with disabilities in inclusive school settings, focusing on understanding disabilities, legal frameworks, inclusive learning environments, differentiated instruction, stakeholder collaboration, assistive technology, social-emotional support, and professional development for educators. The role of families, communities, and educators in fostering an accepting and inclusive atmosphere is emphasized. The study highlights the importance of Universal Design for Learning (UDL), individualized education plans, and assistive tools in enhancing learning experiences for students with disabilities. Additionally, it discusses the significance of fostering a school culture that prioritizes empathy, mental health, and strong partnerships between educators and families. By implementing these strategies, schools can ensure equitable access to education and create a supportive environment where all students thrive.

Keywords: Inclusive education, Disabilities in schools, Differentiated instruction, Universal Design for Learning (UDL), Assistive technology, Social-emotional support.

INTRODUCTION

Students exhibiting diverse behaviours that teachers find unacceptable comprise of those with learning challenges, such as Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Autism, Autism Spectrum Disorder, Down Syndrome, and the like. People with disabilities often require additional patience, understanding, and resources for them to learn effectively, undertake business, and meet their desires and classification. Many misconceptions, negative attitudes, fears, and previous discriminations towards people with disabilities still exist. These are some of the challenges that call for a clear understanding of disabilities and how they influence learning and development, and as such, the development of appropriate strategies for supporting diverse students with disabilities in inclusive educational contexts. Families who show greater acceptance of their physically and mentally disabled offspring envision high prospects for their educational, economic, and social independence, whereas community acceptance and lack of stigma, as well as availability of services, support, and opportunities, were seen as enhancing their independence. Inclusive practices in educational settings are essential to ensure a better life for all children and youth, including those with disabilities and developmental difficulties. A strong effort targeting staff, systemic commitment, and collaboration among all those involved in the education of children with special needs are the key elements of inclusive educational practices. Thus, teachers, parents, communities, and governments need to mobilize their efforts to foster a supportive atmosphere where all children can reach their full potential. Family support, for instance, was rated as a more important factor compared to either community or school support. A supportive family has the best interests of the children at heart and understands children's emotional needs and is seen as most likely to provide support to disabled children attending a mainstream school [1, 2].

Understanding Disabilities in the School Setting

The experience of having – or supporting someone with – a disability can vary substantially. Not all experiences of a disability are the same. This is because it is the environment that decides what might be

disabling for a particular person. There are many more students with disabilities attending all mainstream schools these days, but practicing full inclusion isn't any less challenging a situation. Both educators and students must create an aware and empathetic atmosphere around them. A person with a disability might seem fine superficially, and strangers might jump to the conclusion that there is nothing wrong. They might jump to a very different conclusion if they learned more. Disabilities include a whole range of conditions, each presenting its challenges, many of which are not always apparent. These might be physical disability that affects movement, either temporarily or permanently. It might involve sensory needs as well. They might involve intellectual, social, emotional, or behavioral disorders. These are less familiar to the general populace and might be less understood, so they lend themselves to misconception it. This is why a lot of people think impairment in one area is compensated by strength in another. It is not always the case. Most students with disabilities will benefit from a more inclusive and accommodating environment. Positive attitudes and efforts to assist will allow them to maximize their potential. It's a wider spectrum of abilities and challenges than a lot of people might recognize. The idea of the differently-abled encompasses a whole range of conditions, some of which may not involve any sensory or motor impairment at all. It is a broad umbrella of difficulties across cognitive, emotional, and physical domains and probably includes something everyone struggles with at one time or another. Rather than a binary understanding of 'normalcy,' one is invited to conceive of abilities and challenges as present along a spectrum. All stakeholders of the school community have a share in ensuring inclusivity. It is, hopefully, an issue tackled schoolwide [3, 4].

Legal Frameworks and Policies

Ensuring students with disabilities receive an equal opportunity in obtaining an adequate education and a better quality of life has been a work in progress. The public has historically been particularly apathetic about individuals with disabilities and, as a result, they have been marginalized. Chained families were the only care providers for individuals with disabilities for decades until the mid-1900s. In the 1960s and 70s, thoughts about people with disabilities transitioned from tragic to a civil rights issue. In 1968 Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, allowing for the placement of individuals with disabilities into the least restrictive environment, and free and appropriate education was established. Thirty years later, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act [IDEA] was passed in 1990. This law entailed that children with disabilities were to be given a customized education plan featuring annual goals, broken down into measurable benchmarks. In 2004, IDEA was amended; allowing school districts more freedom in providing services to students, which continues to pave the way for better quality of education for individuals with disabilities. Moreover, during the Civil Rights Movement, legislations for equality were passed, providing legislation guaranteeing legal mechanisms and more defenses for recourse against educational discrimination. If it were not for these activists, the public would not have evolved to view individuals with disabilities as an equal, rather, society would have persisted in viewing them as inferior. These laws have now been in effect for numerous decades and society has had ample time to comply with them. However, society has fallen short in achieving compliance with these laws, leading to severe inequalities in the means to obtain an education. Public school districts have a duty to be compliant with IDEA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, ensuring that individuals with disabilities are given a free appropriate education, placing them in the least restrictive environment while still being provided necessary accommodations. In addition, general education teachers bear the responsibility of adapting their curriculum to meet the standards outlined in IDEA and Section 504. In order to ensure equality concerning these laws, it is suggested that Federal officials be sent to observe educational plans and any legal cases presented are given to appointed officials. Additionally, students and parents of children with disabilities should be more informed about disability laws in order to advocate for equality when seeking educational opportunities. After which, society will be faced with the decision to provide an adequate education or face indefinite legal repercussions. Furthermore, the implementation of a curriculum combining general and special education will better facilitate the movement towards a more inclusive system within public schools. The curriculum will have to be broken down into different categories such as lesson planning, understanding paradigms of first language, second language, and special needs readers and the implementation of classroom strategies. It will incorporate a variety of materials. Teachers will be prepared with the necessary tools to teach a diverse group of students so that instruction can maximize the potential for all students. Teachers will learn how to maintain a successful classroom and ensure equity regardless of learner classification. In the realm of public education, individuals with disabilities had to fight overwhelming odds to secure equal treatment. The battles were

hard fought, but the lives of individuals with disabilities are arguably better due to their struggles. However, in moving towards future gains, the education system has continuously struggled to do the right thing, and in many ways, it seems as though society's views of individuals with disabilities are regressing. Often, individuals with disabilities and their families have been required to fight to secure the rights they have, which in many cases, they are still not being given. This should not be the case. Despite this work being nothing but a work in progress, the onus should not rest solely upon individuals with disabilities and their families to enforce these laws. In a society striving to offer equitable educational opportunities, such practices are unacceptable. Outlined below is the legal framework, responsibilities, and implications for implementing equitable educational practice for individuals with disabilities [5, 6].

Creating Inclusive Learning Environments

Certainly, school communities can foster environments of inclusion that honor diversity and embrace holistic growth. Begin by designing learning environments that reach and support "all" learners. For example, to structure successful assessments for individuals with special needs, be cautious of certain practices. For many learners with exceptionalities, like those with learning disabilities, additional formative assessments to assure each student is passing a threshold before they move on is necessary. How an educator organizes formative assessments can have a large impact on the learning behavior model [7, 8]. Inclusive formative assessment practices must be developmentally appropriate and take into account each student's unique needs. In the classroom, educators need to adapt classroom layout, instructional practice, and assessment practice to allow students with exceptionalities to access the curriculum. To create a learning environment in which "all" students can succeed, organize the physical space to promote communication and engagement. To set a physically safe learning environment, consider how the layout of the physical classroom may restrict or support student interactions. It can be uncomfortable for students sitting in the corner of a classroom facing the wall since they lose context of the activity that is taking place. Instead, promote social interactions by facing students in a circular formation during instruction [9, 10].

Differentiated Instruction and Universal Design for Learning

For new teachers and instructors designing courses and lesson plans, there are overwhelming demands for time, resources, and content. These demands are only intensified when there are also disabilities or special needs to consider in teaching. For students with learning disabilities, retaining and absorbing information becomes much more of a challenge. The traditional lecture-and-assessment lessons do not work for everyone in the class, and the one-size-fits-all approach to curriculum is not always as beneficial as it sounds. The solution is differentiated instruction – a framework and philosophy for teaching different students with varied interests, skills, and levels of understanding. It involves making education personalized so that each child is taught based on individual talents and capabilities instead of relying upon an inflexible standardized model. Teachers who differentiate display flexibility in their thinking, as they let go of preconceived expectations, thereby helping students acquire self-regulation skills that lead to positive attitudes. Here, students take on a power role in their learning because they are provided with the opportunity to select topics and projects of their choosing. This practice builds autonomous behaviors as well as responsibility for learning. In this way, reported data shows a positive correlation between student freedom and motivation, as signified by Active Engagement (AE), Positive Attitude (PA), and Persistence (P), the concept of motivational success [11, 12]. In addition to accommodating the student's motivations and interests, differentiated instruction in the classroom provides flexibility across the dimensions of Readiness, Structures, and Content. First and foremost, the focus of DI is to cultivate teaching catered to the student's strengths and preferences. In the wake of recent academic reform, there has been an incessant surge in studies concerning how to bring at-risk students up to base level. These investigations typically target approaches to capture what trainers can do, such as Procedures, Formats, and Materials. Contrary to this perspective, differentiated instruction emphasizes who the pupils are [13, 14].

Collaboration and Communication among Stakeholders

Collaboration and communication among stakeholders are vital in supporting the success of students with disabilities in schools. Fortunately, they have recognized the necessity of building partnerships between major stakeholders, including educators, families, and specialists. When various key players, such as teachers, parents, specialized staff members, and community representatives, are properly connected, they are generally able to support students with disabilities in their efforts to address their academic, social, emotional, and personal development clearly and effectively [15, 16]. The educator-family collaboration

is central to supporting students with disabilities in schools. Children have better opportunities to be successful in their learning efforts if the adults involved in their education regularly and effectively share their observations, knowledge, and expertise. In families where parents, caregivers, and other family members are well-informed, they can effectively support the educational efforts of students with disabilities. When educators, in turn, know the key aspects of children's life stories, family routines, habits, and dynamics, they can organize flexible and responsive learning environments effectively catering to the individual needs of diverse students. In schools and out-of-school settings, young people are more likely to garner consistent support from the important adults in their life if these adults cooperatively communicate, plan, and pursue aligned educational, therapeutic, and pro-socializing strategies. For these reasons, educators, specialists, and paraprofessionals need to keep in touch with parents and caregivers. Inquiries and concerns expressed by family members need to be recognized and addressed promptly, sensitively, and effectively. Educators and specialists need to ensure they conduct parent-teacher conferences regularly and discuss the academic and personal development of students with disabilities expressly and fairly [17, 18].

Assistive Technology and Adaptive Tools

The field of education has seen many advancements in assistive technology to improve the level of support available for students with disabilities. Assistive technology is any item, piece of equipment, or product system that is used to increase, maintain, or improve the functional capabilities of children with disabilities. There are many misconceptions about what can be considered assistive technology, but considerations need to go beyond devices that aid in physical task completion. Assistive technology can also refer to other types of technology that support cognitive processes or other tasks. With the rapidly growing number of assistive technology devices and tools, the appropriate tool must be identified to best meet the needs and enhance the abilities of the student. Consideration should be given to the type of disability, the functional capabilities of the child, the desired level of support, and the tasks that are difficult for the child to complete independently. One key factor that is often overlooked regarding the appropriateness of assistive technology is whether the child can engage in the academic or functional activity it opens up if the assistive technology devices were removed. An example of this is a child with CP who is unable to hold a pencil to write. Specialized devices, software, and other assistance can be provided to allow the child to take class notes through a computer that he or she carries in a backpack. If that child did not have access to assistive technology, he or she would not be able to take notes and would not have access to information typically taken for granted in a classroom [19, 20].

Social-Emotional Support for Students with Disabilities

The emotional well-being of students is an essential part of academic and overall success. It is difficult for students to develop socially and personally if they do not feel emotionally secure. Students with disabilities are at an increased risk for social and emotional challenges. Educators are encouraged to construct supportive relationships to aid in the development of healthy social skills, creating mentors who model appropriate behavior to increase the likelihood of successful peer interactions. In schools rated as most effective, 20% of students felt they had at least one supportive and positive relationship with a staff member; thus, social skills and relationship development are greatly impacted by school-age interactions with adults. With disabilities largely affecting peer relationships and social skills, students must be surrounded by respectful peers who accept and understand differences. A school culture based on understanding and empathy can significantly impact the bullying of students with significant disabilities; ultimately, all students need an emotional community to foster the growth necessary for development. Mentorship and peer support programs can benefit students well past their school years. Schools must consistently find ways to empower students by aiding in their ability to affect positive change, particularly in an educational setting. Programs in mentorship and peer support require the involvement of respectful peers, requiring children to possess an understanding of disability and create environments supportive of openness and acceptance. Without a fundamental basis of respect, mentorship and peer support programs will lack efficacy. To aid in the facilitation of successful mentorship and peer support programs, a slow and meaningful curriculum must be in place. That, in tandem with interpersonal skill development, allows peers to foster a culture grounded in empathy and respect when debating norms of support. Better school-wide support is encouraged, including how social intervention services offered are advertised and unique training provided to faculty. Improved relationships created with professional service providers will ultimately increase advocacy for services as well invite continuous collaboration regarding inclusive environment. An environment that yields greater developmentally appropriate

activities for the emotional well-being of all students is created by supporting other activities. Several practices exist to create a school life that values mental health and well-being, focusing on relationships over form, thus fostering all forms of resilience. Interventions increasing involvement in healthy extra-curricular activities, in addition to other pro-social behaviors, are successful. Schools that focus on relationship-first policies have experienced fewer isolation-induced incidences of emotional turmoil and self-harm. Complete coursework encouraging this approach is available for students who would benefit from partial or full coursework regarding mental health. It is recommended that faculty partake in a seminar or review a cross-watch that helps them learn methods to foster healthy relationships, coping skills, and imparting all forms of resilience. The seminar should take place with a mental health professional, and facilitation guidelines should be available for any follow-up discussions that take place. Personal counseling services should be made available to all students, and specialized groups should be offered to students who share a common need for support. Although grief groups are widely implemented, an alternative form of care could be championed that provides affected students the resources to embark upon a service to their community. The profundity of tragedy and impacted service students could greatly affect communities in continuous eye-opening ways. This takes developmentally appropriate positive actions far beyond the mere grief model [21, 22].

Professional Development for Educators

Professional development is an essential component to addressing the educational needs of students with disabilities. Ongoing training not only helps educators better understand and cater to the needs of their students but can also maximize the benefits of learning for students with disabilities. Studies have demonstrated that educators need specialized training specifically related to the skill(s) they are teaching to have the greatest impact on outcomes. There are a myriad of training opportunities throughout the year for educators to attend that enhance their knowledge and skills in teaching inclusive practices. Workshops, seminars, webinars, consultations, ongoing treatment and care collaboration with specialists, and other professional development opportunities are available that fit every educator's schedule and budget [23, 24]. Research has shown that successful education must accommodate social, emotional, and personal development, as well as nurture educational support. The most successful teachers and schools foster a culture of learning among educators as well as students. Most teachers are dedicated individuals who want to achieve the best possible outcomes for students with disabilities. This includes personal learning in their own time, as well. Reflecting on one's practice helps to develop a deeper understanding of what is happening in classrooms and the effect it's having on students. The most successful teachers actively seek out ways to learn outside of the mandatory requirements. This might be through networking or sharing experiences with other educators, attending workshops or seminars, or reading research. By investing in the nurturing of educators, the quality of education students receive is enhanced. The act of teaching is in itself an act of learning, which, just like students, should never stop. With this in mind and a commitment to lifelong learning, educators will provide the best opportunities for students with disabilities [25-35].

CONCLUSION

Supporting students with disabilities in schools requires a multi-faceted approach that includes legal compliance, inclusive teaching strategies, the use of assistive technology, and strong collaboration among educators, families, and communities. Inclusive education fosters a learning environment that values diversity and ensures that all students receive the support they need to succeed. By embracing differentiated instruction, Universal Design for Learning, and social-emotional support, schools can enhance the educational experience for students with disabilities. Professional development for teachers is crucial in ensuring they are equipped with the knowledge and skills needed to meet diverse student needs. Ultimately, a collective effort from stakeholders—teachers, families, policymakers, and the wider community—can create an educational system that is both equitable and empowering for all learners.

REFERENCES

1. Havercamp SM, Barnhart WR, Robinson AC, Smith CN. What should we teach about disability? National consensus on disability competencies for health care education. Disability and Health Journal. 2021 Apr 1;14(2):100989. sciencedirect.com
2. Crane JM, Strickler JG, Lash AT, Macerollo A, Prokup JA, Rich KA, Robinson AC, Smith CN, Havercamp SM. Getting comfortable with disability: the short-and long-term effects of a clinical encounter. Disability and health journal. 2021 Apr 1;14(2):100993. [HTML]

3. Smith SA, Woodhead E, Chin-Newman C. Disclosing accommodation needs: exploring experiences of higher education students with disabilities. International Journal of Inclusive Education. 2021 Oct 15;25(12):1358-74. researchgate.net

4. Wehmeyer ML, Shogren KA, Kurth J. The state of inclusion with students with intellectual and developmental disabilities in the United States. Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities. 2021 Mar;18(1):36-43. wiley.com

5. Nana-Sinkam P, Kraschnewski J, Sacco R, Chavez J, Fouad M, Gal T, AuYoung M, Namoos A, Winn R, Sheppard V, Corbie-Smith G. Health disparities and equity in the era of COVID-19. Journal of clinical and translational science. 2021 Jan;5(1):e99. cambridge.org

6. 18. Masuda H, Kawakubo S, Okitasari M, Morita K. Exploring the role of local governments as intermediaries to facilitate partnerships for the Sustainable Development Goals. Sustainable Cities and Society. 2022 Jul 1;82:103883. sciencedirect.com

7. Sundararaman T, Muraleedharan VR, Ranjan A. Pandemic resilience and health systems preparedness: lessons from COVID-19 for the twenty-first century. Journal of Social and Economic Development. 2021 Sep;23(Suppl 2):290-300. springer.com

8. Burau V, Falkenbach M, Neri S, Peckham S, Wallenburg I, Kuhlmann E. Health system resilience and health workforce capacities: Comparing health system responses during the COVID-19 pandemic in six European countries. The International Journal of Health Planning and Management. 2022 Jul;37(4):2032-48. wiley.com

9. Kiss B, Sekulova F, Hörschelmann K, Salk CF, Takahashi W, Wamsler C. Citizen participation in the governance of nature-based solutions. Environmental Policy and Governance. 2022 Jun;32(3):247-72. wiley.com

10. La Grouw Y, van der Woerd O, Visser EL, Blijleven W. Mundane dynamics: Understanding collaborative governance approaches to 'big'problems through studying 'small'practices. Public Policy and Administration. 2024 Jul;39(3):412-35.

11. Zhang Z, Zhang G, Hu Y, Jiang Y, Zhou C, Ma J. The evolutionary mechanism of haze collaborative governance: novel evidence from a tripartite evolutionary game model and a case study in China. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. 2023 Feb 22;10(1):1-4. nature.com

12. Miguel E, Mobarak AM. The economics of the COVID-19 pandemic in poor countries. Annual Review of Economics. 2022 Aug 12;14(1):253-85.

13. Gupta J, Bavinck M, Ros-Tonen M, Asubonteng K, Bosch H, van Ewijk E, Hordijk M, Van Leynseele Y, Cardozo ML, Miedema E, Pouw N. COVID-19, poverty and inclusive development. World Development. 2021 Sep 1;145:105527. sciencedirect.com

14. 26. Husain F, Akram S, Al-Kubaisi HA, Hameed F. The COVID-19 pandemic exposes and exacerbates inequalities for vulnerable groups: a systematic review. Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences. 2023 Sep 30;11(3):3755-65. internationalrasd.org

15. Rodriguez DD, Menchaca VD, Padilla G. Social justice: School administrative staff perceptions of strengths, challenges, and training needs in Section 504-only services. Journal of Leadership, Accountability and Ethics. 2021;18(1):89-101. na-businesspress.com

16. Zeng J, Huang L. Understanding formative assessment practice in the EFL exam-oriented context: an application of the theory of planned behavior. Frontiers in Psychology. 2021 Dec 9;12:774159.

17. Teng LS. Explicit strategy-based instruction in L2 writing contexts: A perspective of self-regulated learning and formative assessment. Assessing Writing. 2022 Jul 1;53:100645.

18. Clark I. Formative assessment: Translating high-level curriculum principles into classroom practice. Curriculum Journal. 2015 Jan 2;26(1):91-114.

19. Cavioni V, Broli L, Grazzani I. Bridging the SEL CASEL Framework with European educational policies and assessment approaches. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EMOTIONAL EDUCATION.. 2024;16(1):6-25.

20. Magableh IS, Abdullah A. The impact of differentiated instruction on students' reading comprehension attainment in mixed-ability classrooms. Interchange. 2021 Jun;52(2):255-72.

21. van Geel M, Keuning T, Safar I. How teachers develop skills for implementing differentiated instruction: Helpful and hindering factors. Teaching and Teacher Education: Leadership and Professional Development. 2022 Dec 1;1:100007. sciencedirect.com

22. Tomlinson CA, Jarvis JM. Differentiation: Making curriculum work for all students through responsive planning & instruction. InSystems and models for developing programs for the gifted and talented 2023 May 31 (pp. 599-628). Routledge.

23. Gaitas S, Carêto C, Peixoto F, Castro Silva J. Differentiated instruction:'to be, or not to be, that is the question'. International Journal of Inclusive Education. 2024 Sep 18;28(11):2607-23. researchgate.net

24. Tomlinson CA, Jarvis JM. Differentiation: Making curriculum work for all students through responsive planning & instruction. InSystems and models for developing programs for the gifted and talented 2023 May 31 (pp. 599-628). Routledge.

25. Mazon C, Etchegoyhen K, Saint-Supery I, Amestoy A, Bouvard M, Consel C, Sauzéon H. Fostering parents-professional collaboration for facilitating the school inclusion of students with ASD: Design of the "ToGather" web-based prototype. Educational technology research and development. 2022 Feb;70(1):231-62. springer.com

26. Graff CS, Price JF, Coomer MN. Technology as a Bridge to Co-create Inclusive and Equitable Learning Environments for Students with Intersectional Identities. InUsing Technology to Enhance Special Education 2023 Feb 2 (pp. 181-198). Emerald Publishing Limited.

27. Courduff J, Moktari A. Personal, cultural, and institutional perspectives of special education technology integrators: A narrative inquiry. Journal of Special Education Technology. 2022 Sep;37(3):413-25.

28. McNicholl A, Casey H, Desmond D, Gallagher P. The impact of assistive technology use for students with disabilities in higher education: a systematic review. Disability and rehabilitation: assistive Technology. 2021 Feb 17;16(2):130-43. maynoothuniversity.ie

29. Howard J, Fisher Z, Kemp AH, Lindsay S, Tasker LH, Tree JJ. Exploring the barriers to using assistive technology for individuals with chronic conditions: a meta-synthesis review. Disability and rehabilitation: Assistive technology. 2022 May 19;17(4):390-408. [HTML]

30. Dell'Anna S, Pellegrini M, Ianes D, Vivanet G. Learning, social, and psychological outcomes of students with moderate, severe, and complex disabilities in inclusive education: A systematic review. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education. 2022 Nov 2;69(6):2025-41. tandfonline.com

31. Sarkar R. Exploring challenges of women with disabilities in accessing higher education. Journal of Disability Studies. 2023 Sep 30;9(1):1-7.

32. Ainscow M, Calderón-Almendros I, Duk C, Viola M. Using professional development to promote inclusive education in Latin America: possibilities and challenges. Professional Development in Education. 2025 Jan 2;51(1):149-66. [HTML]

33. Tohara AJ, Shuhidan SM, Bahry FD, Nordin MN. Exploring digital literacy strategies for students with special educational needs in the digital age. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education. 2021;12(9):3345-58. researchgate.net

34. Afifah M, Ningrum AS, Wahyuni S, Syaifulloh B. Self-Efficacy, Anxiety, and Emotional Intelligence: Do They Contribute to Speaking Performance?. Journal of Languages and Language Teaching. 2024 Apr 22;12(2):793-806. undikma.ac.id

35. Jardinez MJ, Natividad LR. The Advantages and Challenges of Inclusive Education: Striving for Equity in the Classroom. Shanlax International Journal of Education. 2024 Mar;12(2):57-65.