Conflict Resolution Strategies for School Leaders

Kakembo Aisha Annet

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Conflict is an inevitable part of educational settings, often arising from diverse perspectives, systemic structures, interpersonal interactions, and organizational changes. For school leaders, effectively managing and resolving conflicts is essential to creating a respectful, collaborative, and thriving school culture. This paper investigates the role of school leaders in conflict resolution, focusing on communication strategies, leadership styles, and practical approaches to addressing disputes. It identifies key principles of conflict resolution, such as neutrality, empathy, and effective communication, as critical tools for conflict management. Additionally, the paper categorizes common types of conflicts in schools including student-student, organizational, and systemic conflicts and examines strategies like mediation, interest-based negotiation, and restorative practices. By implementing well-designed policies, training programs, and collaborative strategies, school leaders can mitigate conflicts, promote harmony, and foster an environment conducive to learning and growth.

Keywords: Conflict resolution, school leadership, effective communication, mediation, interest-based negotiation, restorative practices.

INTRODUCTION

The education environment thrives on healthy relationships and harmony in the school culture, where staff and students can communicate and collaborate. Changes in policy, processes, and the community itself have the potential to create conflict situations, which may involve school leadership and make new demands on approaches to managing school culture. This requires proactive and thoughtful policies to manage and resolve conflicts before these impacts can be seen in the school culture. Indeed, effective school leaders regard conflict resolution and management as a necessary and important focus of their professional work [1, 2]. The cost and consequences for schools failing to address these types of disputes and friction that emerge are significant. They can include putting off job candidates, loss of skilled staff and students, unfairness, and longer, more divisive actions such as challenging decisions through legal and other channels, and/or higher stress levels and effort to gain satisfaction for wrongs they believe have been committed against them. Moreover, when dispute resolution is handled fairly by school leaders, satisfaction is also increased, and attitudes toward the school improve. This paper begins by discussing the range and complexity of school conflict types that may arise in learning, communicating, working, and leading education in schools. It discusses the roles of conflict that may affect schools whether they are addressed or left unresolved. It focuses on mediation and school leadership in exploring strategies to resolve disputes and conflicts in schools and provides a model for school leaders to guide this work [3, 4].

The Role of School Leaders in Conflict Resolution

School leaders are responsible for creating a respectful climate in which peace and constructive dialogue are promoted. School leaders also need to develop the skills and norms associated with groups of teachers, students, and parents to resolve conflicts effectively. To achieve this, leaders must create a climate where multiple perspectives and viewpoints are represented, modeled, and welcomed. Open dialogue and communication thrive. Participants work together to engage in problem-solving. Mutual respect and acceptance based on efforts to understand different perspectives are evident. As leaders engage in conflict-

related activities with their teams, they model healthy conflict management to their staff and students and support adults and students in re-engaging in school-related activities when conflicts occur. Educators' perceptions and team strategies for resolving conflicts may be influenced by these early experiences and the behavior of their school leaders when dealing with disagreement [5, 6]. Many minor conflicts are resolved without the formal intervention of a school leader. These learning and teaching issues are part of the problem-solving required of workplace teams. However, there are many issues, particularly staff issues, that require the intervention of a school leader to ensure the situation is resolved to an acceptable level, and that all parties feel adequately represented. Conflict resolution strategies for school leaders are varied but can include a restorative approach, dialogue, process agreement, and structure. Personality traits and leadership styles may enhance or interfere with a leader's ability to resolve a conflict. Four general leadership styles were discerned to predict the approach to conflict and change. These included transactional, transformational, introverted, and transactoristic. Leadership styles and their capacity to encourage the appropriate face of the conflict will be detailed later. The role of leadership as a means of addressing conflict will ensure that the health, productivity, and achievement of the team, the individual, and the organization are achieved [7, 8].

Effective Communication Skills

Communication is a fundamental aspect of the conflict resolution process. Nevertheless, there are communication barriers that may prevent the successful resolution of conflict, such as different ways of responding to conflict, language issues, time issues, stereotyping, creating false perceptions, power, and status differences, emotions that are not managed well, and transference of conflict between people and children. Several skills have been identified that are effective in ending cycles of escalating conflict and introducing emotional content when conflicts are part of the human services encounter [9, 10]. The research suggests that six essential communication skills are present during the conflict resolution process. These are: 1. Assertiveness – clearly and directly conveying one's needs, wants, thoughts, and feelings to the other parties in the conflict; 2. Active listening – demonstrating attention, interest, and comprehension when someone else is speaking; 3. Questioning – promoting deep interaction and discussion; 4. Empathy – understanding another person's perspective to show them that they and their feelings, ideas, or concerns matter; 5. Paraphrasing – restating what the other person says, using one's own words; and 6. Reflection – reflecting the emotions one thinks the other person is feeling. If conflict resolution is an effective strategy for cognitive development, then conflict resolution strategies must be about preventative and reactive strategies [11, 12]. Leaders in schools, for example, need to invest heavily in ensuring they have outstanding communication and conflict resolution skills, particularly instruments to address these attitudes. Tools to support leaders include role-play between staff, problem-solving seminars, workshops, structured guidance from other principals, specific authorities, and resources to manage these belief orientations, promotion of intelligence and creativity, evaluation of effectiveness, and reuse of effective strategies. By identifying and accurately using these skills, leaders can prevent simple misunderstandings from escalating to become serious and costly for the whole school community. Furthermore, funding dedicated budgets to prepare those who seek to take senior roles in conflict resolution and resources to deal with escalated conflicts may prevent the long-term impact of workplace stress and absenteeism. The impact of educating these potential leaders for resolution can be huge in the context of lowering the legal cost of serious disputes that could be considered foreseeable by truly skilled individuals. In the employment of both male and female leaders, studies have found that non-verbal communication is of less importance in a mediated conflict situation than in a formalized meeting room setting. In the physical realm of conflict resolution, the main message is the content of the communication and then secondarily the tone with which the messages are being delivered. Interviewees and multiple studies found that only two interviewees identified two of the situations in the workplace setting as the most serious in terms of not matching the body language of the speakers with the surfacing of the words. It is then respectful, clear, and appropriate communication skills that are likely to be one of the mediators of dispute for leaders in schools [13, 14].

Common Types of Conflicts in Schools

Conflicts are normal occurrences in human relations, and schools are no exception to this rule. While not all types of conflicts are associated with negative outcomes, the landscape of conflicts in schools is multifaceted and requires a comprehensive look. Conflicts in educational settings can be broadly divided into the following categories: interpersonal conflicts that stem from the needs, values, personalities, or opinions of the individuals involved; organizational conflicts resulting from the complexity and

multidimensionality of the school system; and systemic conflicts related to educational structure and philosophy, socioeconomic conditions, and public educational policy. While the boundaries between these types of conflicts are blurry, interpersonal conflicts are considered to originate from broader social, economic, and political mechanisms, and are thus labeled as systemic conflicts. For example, the student who is perceived as a troublemaker by peers and the teacher who has gained a reputation for being condemnatory might well engage in a conflict due to personality traits, though the conflict is systemic since such a teacher-student conflict reflects societal levels of racial or gender discrimination [15, 16].

Another broad categorization of conflict distinguishes bureaucratic, technical, and cultural conflicts. Bureaucratic conflicts typically involve disagreement about job content, work procedures, and resource distribution. Within the school setting, interpersonal conflicts often tend to be bureaucratic, involving disagreements over office space, working hours, and curriculum development. Interrole or interorganizational conflicts, on the other hand, can arise between school and district employees; for example, teachers and their principals when the latter introduce curricular changes that are opposed by the former even though they will be implemented as districtwide programs. Technical and cultural levels of conflict depend more on normative beliefs and values, and can grow into either interpersonal, interrole, or interorganizational conflicts; for example, the incompatibility of alternative education philosophies within a school [17, 18].

Student-Student Conflicts

In any educational setting, student conflicts are frequent and to be expected. Common scenarios include bullying, harassment, competition, jealousy, and accidental misunderstandings that escalate into serious disputes. Often, student disagreements involve friends who become enemies. It is widely accepted that young people are often influenced by peer relationships, and adults occasionally question whether peer pressure is likely contributing to the escalation of a conflict [19, 20]. Both unintentional and purposeful reasons may influence the reporting of a conflict in schools. Concern for a friend is one reason for a student to report a conflict in which they are not directly involved. More often than not, talking about a conflict regardless of involvement will occur if youth feel vulnerable and want assistance. A perception of being overwhelmed and having no control often follows minors caught in the middle of a conflict. This perspective, of course, overlooks students who may enjoy creating a crisis for adults, parents, and other students [21, 22]. Exposure to conflicts can also influence students' ability to feel 'safe' in their school environments, and the crossover of school bullying prevention efforts and managing student disputes may provide new insight into reducing harassment on school grounds. A proactive approach involving classes on living and playing together may prevent student disputes. Creating and maintaining a 'sense of community' in schools is essential, and school leadership should take steps to reinforce school community norms and expectations. This culture often encourages students to enroll in leadership career paths and assists in blending discipline under various programs. Leaders should cultivate active working relationships with students and keep lines of communication open. Area counselors, police, and youth organizations may provide the means for students to voice their concerns to adults [23, 24].

Key Principles of Conflict Resolution

The seven key principles of conflict resolution revolve around the basic focus on transitioning from an adversarial mindset to one of collaboration. Conflict resolution emphasizes understanding, which ultimately leads to a fuller and fairer assessment of the issues at the root of the conflict. In negotiation and conflict resolution, one generally best achieves understanding by: engaging others in understanding one's perspective, engaging oneself in others' perspectives, examining the perspectives of other stakeholders, asking oneself tough, self-reflective questions, examining the most common underlying causes of conflict, and employing the interest-based rather than the positional problem-solving approach. A balanced and fair solution is achieved by viewing the big picture and understanding the multiple perspectives that come with it. Lastly, the conflict resolution procedures must be sufficiently flexible to accommodate diverse perspectives and needs [25, 26]. Conflict resolution guidelines must accommodate different educational community perspectives, provide a continuum of problem-solving choices, and be periodically reviewed and communicated. A basic requirement for the success of conflict resolution is that the educational environment – through its policies and practices in school climate, discipline, classroom management, and staff development – supports teaching, learning, and respect for all. Skill-based procedures, also administered by school-based teams but focused on teaching social skills and anger control, peer mediation, and violence prevention, involve explicit training and lay out procedures for how to build and improve relationships, provide a developmental sequence of graceful exits for a student, apply

verbal and non-verbal defusing techniques in a pressured situation, and obtain outside help when powerful peers require persuasion to help defuse brewing violence. Emotionally intelligent skills such as empathetic understanding and impulse control in a crisis, for example, apply to restorative interventions. Emotional intelligence is the ability to accurately appraise and effectively regulate one's own emotions, motivate oneself, assume the perspective of others, and attract and influence others [27, 28]. There are three main strategies used to approach conflict resolution: interest-based, rights-based, and power-based. Each has its strengths and weaknesses. While research and experience show that interest-based negotiation is usually the best way to approach conflict, disputes do arise where other strategies can sometimes be useful as backup positions. This is an especially important point to keep in mind as a leader. The traditional horror story of how not to run a school involves administrators who pretend there is no conflict, which leads to disrespected losers, anonymous revengeful losers, resistant participants, and no winner. These strategies offer the leader a choice of the most appropriate approach to resolving a conflict. Interest-based strategies offer the best potential of achieving a win-win solution. The above approach works best when the administration is dealing with a member of staff, students, or their parents. Interest-based strategies are often the quickest, cheapest, and most win-win methods. Nevertheless, interest-based strategies seem inappropriate at times when there is a need to utilize formal authority as a teacher in charge.

Neutrality and Impartiality

Neutrality Scholars and practitioners in the field of conflict resolution propose that neutrality is the medium through which disputing parties are likely to trust the conflict resolution process and come to a successful resolution. In school conflicts, especially between staff members, parties may hesitate to involve school leaders in conflict resolution processes. The perception of neutrality begins with the process being fair and impartial. Firstly, to be neutral, school leaders need to ensure that they are perceived as fair, non-partisan, and neutral in their constructive attempts to foster cooperative behavior between disputants. Neutrality implies that leaders are free from all types of preconceptions and contradictions of interest with one or more involved parties. There are concrete ways that school leaders can use to demonstrate that they are impartial in a conflict. To begin with, it is pertinent that they show no favor or opposition to at least one of the involved parties. Any practice that involves ignoring one while giving instant attention to the other should be avoided. The bias in the leader's actions can lead the other party or parties to conclude that they will not be treated justly by the leader and can therefore lose hope in the midst of a resolution effort. Secondly, leaders should try to avoid personal comments about parties' positions and interests and should not agree or disagree with their demands and accusations. Conflict resolution fails if disputants believe that the leader is interpreting their situation from just one perspective. Thirdly, it is necessary for them to make statements that promote problem definition and solutions instead of emphasizing and endorsing one party's sensitivity. Statements like "you are right to demand that…" and "you are right to stand your ground" should be avoided. This draws a clear line between supporting one party over the other. An important guiding principle is that all involved must have a chance to express themselves and feel understood. In keeping with this, school leaders should provide a safe atmosphere for discussing conflict issues where confidential comments can be given by concerned parties. Failure to give both parties equal opportunities to voice their opinions gives the impression of prejudice and dislike of one party over the others. Managing Personal Biases and Emotions Leaders' personal biases can result from quick conclusions, misinterpretations, misunderstandings, distortions, close-mindedness, and failure to consider alternative interpretations of behaviors or alternative explanations for the causes of the disputes. Bias, characterized by feelings of anger, disgust, and fear, can be a source of irrational choices in conflict resolution. The confrontational or antagonistic conflict resolution mode that is known to be unproductive is characterized by anger and hatred. The goal of conflict resolution is not to suppress emotions but to create an environment where opinions are expressed without fear. In facilitating emotions, the key leadership demands are being attentive to inquiring about and expressing positive emotions like satisfaction, humor, and joy, such as expressing approval with a head nod, thumbs up, or pleasantly raised eyebrows. Empathy plays a crucial role as it involves understanding the situation and grasping the emotions in play, showing sincere sympathy, being sensitive to the variation in the parties' emotions, and demonstrating that understanding by attending closely to their emotions [29, 30].

Implementing Conflict Resolution Strategies in Schools

This paper has focused, so far, on the philosophical basis and evidence of the impact of remaining in an escalating conflict on a range of variables affecting the school organization, staff, and students. It is,

therefore, time to turn our attention to practical matters and examine conflict resolution strategies that can be implemented in schools. Many schools would argue that conflict resolution and positive management strategies are an integral part of the student's characteristics that they are seeking to develop; however, until now, little has been written on the actual strategies that can be employed within the school environment. One of the main conclusions of recent reviews of literature surrounding conflict in education has been the need for each school to have a policy and procedures that are specific to the school and the community and to be integrated with it. This implies the use of more complex formal and informal strategies such as mediation, negotiation, bargaining, and collaborative problem-solving rather than first-order strategies focusing on interpersonal conflict. Invariably in schools, these more complex strategies require skill in: Procedural due process, Fair hearings, Active listening, in particular, empathetic and non-judgmental stance, Inquiry or problem detection, Creative and divergent thinking, Problem-solving and negotiating, Reframing and restating, and – Information exchange. For there to be a school policy, it follows logically that teachers and staff would need practical training in these skills. Alternatively, one could give teachers and staff some strength-based training and leave it to the experts in the respective community to use these tactics to implement any school policies [31, 32].

CONCLUSION

Conflict resolution is essential to effective school leadership, as it directly impacts the overall school culture, staff satisfaction, and student well-being. School leaders must embrace strategies prioritizing open communication, neutrality, and empathy to ensure conflict control. By understanding the types of conflicts that commonly occur—whether interpersonal, organizational, or systemic—leaders can tailor their approaches to fit specific situations. Implementing conflict resolution frameworks, training staff in effective communication, and fostering collaboration through restorative practices can transform disputes into opportunities for growth and understanding. Ultimately, school leaders who proactively address conflicts prevent long-term disruptions and build stronger relationships and a healthier educational environment where all stakeholders feel valued and heard.

REFERENCES

1. Kruse SD, Hackmann DG, Lindle JC. Academic leadership during a pandemic: Department heads leading with a focus on equity. InFrontiers in Education 2020 Dec 17 (Vol. 5, p. 614641). Frontiers Media SA.
2. Gómez-Leal R, Holzer AA, Bradley C, Fernández-Berrocal P, Patti J. The relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership in school leaders: A systematic review. Cambridge Journal of Education. 2022 Jan 2;52(1):1-21. researchgate.net
3. Chinn CA, Barzilai S, Duncan RG. Disagreeing about how to know: The instructional value of explorations into knowing. Educational Psychologist. 2020 Jul 2;55(3):167-80.
4. Bin-Nashwan SA, Hassan MK, Muneeza A. Russia–Ukraine conflict: 2030 Agenda for SDGs hangs in the balance. International Journal of Ethics and Systems. 2024 Jan 23;40(1):3-16. researchgate.net
5. Arar K, Örücü D. Post-migration ecology in educational leadership and policy for social justice: Welcoming refugee students in two distinct national contexts. Educational Management Administration & Leadership. 2024 Nov;52(6):1410-33. [HTML]
6. Zincirli M. School administrators' views on distance education during the COVID-19 pandemic process. Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology. 2021 Apr 19;9(2):52-66.
7. Lustick H. "Restorative justice" or restoring order? Restorative school discipline practices in urban public schools. Urban Education. 2021 Oct;56(8):1269-96.
8. Lustick H. Going restorative, staying tough: Urban principals' perceptions of restorative practices in collocated small schools. Education and Urban Society. 2021 Sep;53(7):739-60.
9. Rossoni AL, de Vasconcellos EP, de Castilho Rossoni RL. Barriers and facilitators of university-industry collaboration for research, development and innovation: a systematic review. Management Review Quarterly. 2024 Sep;74(3):1841-77. springer.com
10. Umarov T. PSYCHOLOGICAL HELP IN ADJUSTING PRIMARY CLASS STUDENTS TO SCHOOL. Nordic_Press. 2024 May 27;3(0003).
11. Mitsea E, Drigas A, Mantas P. Soft Skills & Metacognition as Inclusion Amplifiers in the 21 st Century. International Journal of Online & Biomedical Engineering. 2021 Apr 1;17(4). semanticscholar.org

12. Tang T, Vezzani V, Eriksson V. Developing critical thinking, collective creativity skills and problem solving through playful design jams. Thinking Skills and Creativity. 2020 Sep 1;37:100696.

13. Grissom JA, Condon L. Leading schools and districts in times of crisis. Educational Researcher. 2021 Jun;50(5):315-24.

14. Jusoh MH, Ibrahim MY, Noh CH, Abdullah TN, Mamat N, Mohd NA, Yasin SK. Leadership communication among youth leader in Malaysia. InFirst International Conference on Science, Technology, Engineering and Industrial Revolution (ICSTEIR 2020) 2021 Mar 13 (pp. 465-471). Atlantis Press. atlantis-press.com

15. Mijakoski D, Cheptea D, Marca SC, Shoman Y, Caglayan C, Bugge MD, Gnesi M, Godderis L, Kiran S, McElvenny DM, Mediouni Z. Determinants of burnout among teachers: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2022 May 9;19(9):5776. mdpi.com

16. Niedlich S, Kallfaß A, Pohle S, Bormann I. A comprehensive view of trust in education: Conclusions from a systematic literature review. Review of Education. 2021 Feb;9(1):124-58. wiley.com

17. Folger JP, Poole MS, Stutman RK. Working through conflict: Strategies for relationships, groups, and organizations. Routledge; 2021 Apr 7.

18. Stich JF. A review of workplace stress in the virtual office. Intelligent Buildings International. 2020 Jul 2;12(3):208-20.

19. Gelles LA, Lord SM, Hoople GD, Chen DA, Mejia JA. Compassionate flexibility and self-discipline: Student adaptation to emergency remote teaching in an integrated engineering energy course during COVID-19. Education Sciences. 2020 Oct 28;10(11):304. mdpi.com

20. Gillis A, Krull LM. <? covid19?> COVID-19 remote learning transition in spring 2020: class structures, student perceptions, and inequality in college courses. Teaching Sociology. 2020 Oct;48(4):283-99.

21. Abdullah KN, Diantoro F. THE ROLE SOCIAL SUPPORT AND SPIRITUAL INTELLIGENCE ON STUDENTS'MORALS IN ISLAMIC STUDENTS: PLS-SEM ANALYSIS. Abjadia: International Journal of Education. 2024 Dec 16;9(3):677-88.

22. VanLeeuwen CA, Veletsianos G, Johnson N, Belikov O. Never-ending repetitiveness, sadness, loss, and "juggling with a blindfold on:" Lived experiences of Canadian college and university faculty members during the COVID-19 pandemic. British Journal of Educational Technology. 2021 Jul;52(4):1306-22. nih.gov

23. Lacoe J. Too scared to learn? The academic consequences of feeling unsafe in the classroom. Urban Education. 2020 Dec;55(10):1385-418.

24. Sadrizadeh S, Yao R, Yuan F, Awbi H, Bahnfleth W, Bi Y, Cao G, Croitoru C, de Dear R, Haghighat F, Kumar P. Indoor air quality and health in schools: A critical review for developing the roadmap for the future school environment. Journal of Building Engineering. 2022 Oct 1;57:104908. sciencedirect.com

25. Keller M, Sahakian M, Hirt LF. Connecting the multi-level-perspective and social practice approach for sustainable transitions. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions. 2022 Sep 1;44:14-28. [HTML]

26. Wezel A, Herren BG, Kerr RB, Barrios E, Gonçalves AL, Sinclair F. Agroecological principles and elements and their implications for transitioning to sustainable food systems. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2020 Dec;40:1-3. springer.com

27. Dwivedi YK, Kshetri N, Hughes L, Slade EL, Jeyaraj A, Kar AK, Baabdullah AM, Koohang A, Raghavan V, Ahuja M, Albanna H. Opinion Paper:"So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management. 2023 Aug 1;71:102642. sciencedirect.com

28. Steger C, Klein JA, Reid RS, Lavorel S, Tucker C, Hopping KA, Marchant R, Teel T, Cuni-Sanchez A, Dorji T, Greenwood G. Science with society: Evidence-based guidance for best practices in environmental transdisciplinary work. Global Environmental Change. 2021 May 1;68:102240. nsf.gov

29. Ojala M. Is the age of impartial journalism over? The neutrality principle and audience (dis) trust in mainstream news. Journalism studies. 2021 Nov 18;22(15):2042-60.
30. Wang X, Kobayashi T. Nationalism and political system justification in China: differential effects of traditional and new media. Chinese Journal of Communication. 2021 Apr 3;14(2):139-56.
31. Coleman PT. Conflict, complexity, and change: A meta-framework for addressing protracted, intractable conflicts—III. Peace and Conflict. 2006 Dec 1;12(4):325-48.
32. Levin JS. Faculty work: Tensions between educational and economic values. The Journal of Higher Education. 2006 Jan 1;77(1):62-88.