



# Language Rights and the Law: A Global Perspective

Akwagiobe Richard Akpanke and Aminu Bakori Mohammed

Faculty of Law Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the intricate relationship between language rights and legal frameworks across the globe, delving into the historical evolution, international legal instruments, and national implementations of language laws. It highlights the pivotal role of language rights in safeguarding cultural identity and promoting social justice, particularly for marginalized communities. By examining close to 200 jurisdictions and their constitutional and legislative approaches, this work underscores the challenges faced in protecting linguistic diversity amid the pressures of globalization and state sovereignty. The analysis includes a critique of existing laws and their enforcement mechanisms, offering insights into future directions, such as integrating mixed methodologies and amplifying the voices of minority language speakers. This study serves as a critical resource for understanding how language laws can empower communities while addressing ongoing inequalities.

**Keywords:** Language Rights, Human Rights, Linguistic Diversity, International Law, National Implementation, Minority Languages.

## INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we take you and other readers through a journey of understanding the place of language at the intersection of human rights, how language rights and obligations are being addressed in constitutions and legislation enacted in close to 200 jurisdictions, and the ways in which some contest these laws and related judicial decisions in judicial forums that have been referred to as global, semi-global, and regional. For us as authors, lawyers, and academics, at the heart of our concern are the marginalized communities and the ways in which the law is used to empower them [1, 2]. Language rights protect the ways in which citizens use and continue to develop the languages of their forefathers and mothers, brethren, and ancestors. The term “language rights” is shorthand for a number of related ideas—rights associated with a language or languages that an individual (a) habitually and regularly reads, speaks, writes, and understands, and (b) understands concepts that are the basis for a common system of the symbols and conventions that they call their language(s). For many people, the fabric of their community and their social, political, and cultural institutions and practices is woven with threads of particular languages. Governments that, without very good reason, harm these languages can infringe on the deeply held beliefs and practices of these people. Some may believe that the obligation to treat the first languages of people in a certain manner flows not from governmental largesse but rather is founded on the inherent dignity of humans, and argue that the law ought to treat languages as basic human rights [3, 4].

### Historical Development of Language Rights

The recognition of language rights has come a long way in history. All ancient civilizations, including Hindus, Buddhists, Zoroastrians, Jews, and Christians, have given importance to the ownership of land, cultivation of its produce, and preference for one's language. The tradition of assigning a mother tongue, or an ancestral language, a place of importance in a particular geographic region has continued in societies. Many milestones in the development of language rights were achieved during and after the First World War and after the global turmoil of the Second World War, which ended with the end of the European colonial setup that marked the end of racial discrimination and the idea of ethnic superiority of

a few races in the world. This is further divided into treaty rights and customary rights based on practice. While the former is binding upon signatories to the treaty, custom, being legally binding upon all states, has a far wider importance [5, 6]. Conditions of colonialism and globalization have worked against the development of linguistic diversity. In postcolonial states, languages are often co-eternal with pre-ascendant religions and still associated with certain socio-economic class denominators. In the case of countries in Africa, Asia, and America, where colonization happened across languages, the effect has been devastating as systems of communication for the colonized were replaced, producing new non-pluralist worldviews. It is through the narratives of several oppressed languages in different parts of the world that we began to appreciate that claims for linguistic recognition are not made in abstraction but within larger demands for recognition. Significantly, all these narratives reveal how speakers and champions of minority languages make the demand for their language or set of languages via demands for social and political justice. Thus, linguicism infuses colonialism. Major struggles for rights began, more emphatically, after the Second World War, which saw the redrawing of world boundaries and political power structures, beginning with the end of colonialism. Civil and development rights in the form of universal foundational rights began, and these further gave rise to special categories [7, 8].

### **Legal Framework for Language Rights**

Language rights are embedded in a rich and complex patchwork of international legal instruments that are designed to protect and standardize basic human rights. Various conventions, treaties, and declarations have acknowledged the importance of linguistic rights. Key among them are the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, and the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Many other international documents also discuss linguistic rights in specific contexts. Notably, the year 2001 is declared as the International Year of Languages and the importance of promoting linguistic rights within the framework of UNESCO [9, 10]. The only binding international treaty that deals with linguistic rights is the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities. Closely associated with the concept of minority are the related concepts of ethnic voicing and the principle of self-determination recognized in several international instruments as a part of the rights of peoples. Most states have signed and ratified human rights covenants or thematic treaties so that substantive consolidation and effect have been given to rights that are recognized as a part of the jus cogens norm of international law in the context of general human rights law. Whether international law is effective in controlling and regulating the conduct of offenders who may violate or curtail these rights or in providing remedies in case of their non-enforcement is a different question because there is little or no enforcement machinery for the implementation of these rights. State sovereignty is often an obstacle in allowing supranational or international law bodies to have enforcement mechanisms in these areas. It is only since the adoption of the FCNM that there is an international legal regime for minority protection [11, 12].

### **National Implementation of Language Rights Laws**

It is clear from the above that basic instruments for language rights laws are regularly stated at the international level; however, they do not automatically filter down to the country level. When they do, the approaches countries take to this law differ. It is clear that we need to engage at international forums and strive to ensure language rights are implemented on the ground, at the national level, by all states. The following case studies provide examples of the progress countries have made and the challenges they are still facing. Policies and National Legal Frameworks: In all countries, language rights, or mention thereof, have been officially recognized by the country in question and included in its legal framework and/or governmental policies. In addition to being present at a national level, discussions of language must also be prominent among those who are working directly with their languages and communities. However, the implementation of language policy is never straightforward, and speakers of minority languages face barriers whether countries have laws on the books or not. Indigenous and minority languages struggle to find space in public broadcasting and media and access to information and education. Furthermore, some countries are still not recognizing indigenous languages and communities, thus interrupting their rights to power and autonomy. The legal and policy protection of language rights is always seen as a first step, but words not followed by action result in continued marginalization of speakers [13, 14].

### Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the growing body of laws protecting language rights, significant challenges remain in this field. High on the list is the widespread practice of linguistic discrimination. Advocates for language rights have yet to come up with effective strategies for the protection of minority languages. There are valid concerns that most laws that protect language rights are not strong enough. While the Proclamation of the Free Iraq People recognizes three languages, 90 percent of Iraqis speak Arabic, and Arabic prevails in governing the country. Recent events have further brought into sharp relief the tenuous character of legal language rights, especially in protecting linguistic pluralism against the hegemony of dominant languages [15, 16]. Social justice might be another challenge in the field of language rights. In both centralized and federal states, language is an important feature of power and governance. In addition, common sense suggests that to truly fulfill the minimum threshold of social justice, there must also be a government that wishes to impose such laws. Such governments, in turn, need to carefully gauge their relations with former colonial powers or any other powerful political actors. Finally, while the international community recognizes minority rights, there is no global legal regime for the protection of minority or majority languages. In terms of future projects, it is now imperative to go beyond law and policy towards perhaps mixed methods that bring in the voices of minority language speakers themselves, as well as engaging political and social sciences and including sub-fields such as migration and displacement studies. As for the field of linguistics, the relationship between human movement and languages carried and developed in migrants' new environments still needs better deconstructing in many disciplines [17, 18].

### CONCLUSION

Language rights lie at the heart of human dignity and cultural identity, acting as both a marker of diversity and a tool for empowerment. Despite advancements in international and national legal frameworks, significant gaps remain in their enforcement and practical application. The persistent challenges of linguistic discrimination, dominance of major languages, and insufficient governmental commitment highlight the need for robust, actionable measures. This paper advocates for a multidimensional approach—merging law, social sciences, and grassroots activism—to ensure the recognition and preservation of minority languages. Future endeavors must focus on strengthening international collaborations, addressing the socio-political dynamics that marginalize linguistic communities, and fostering inclusive policies that reflect the voices of those most affected. By doing so, the global community can move closer to achieving true linguistic equity and justice.

### REFERENCES

1. Gellman M. Mother tongue wont help you eat: Language politics in Sierra Leone. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*. 2020 Oct 31;14(4):140-9.
2. Faingold ED. Language rights in the United States constitution and the Civil Rights Act of 1964. *Language and Identity*. 2022;447.
3. Braithwaite B. Ideologies of linguistic research on small sign languages in the global South: A Caribbean perspective. *Language & Communication*. 2020 Sep 1;74:182-94.
4. Wei L, García O. Not a first language but one repertoire: Translanguaging as a decolonizing project. *RELC journal*. 2022 Aug;53(2):313-24.
5. Lucas C, Bayley R, Hill JC, McCaskill C. Segregation and desegregation of the Southern schools for the deaf: The relationship between language policy and dialect development. *Sign Language Studies*. 2023;23(4):577-617.
6. Alkatout I, Mechler U, Mettler L, Pape J, Maass N, Biebl M, Gitas G, Laganà AS, Freytag D. The development of laparoscopy—a historical overview. *Frontiers in surgery*. 2021 Dec 15;8:799442.
7. Albury N. 18 Language attitudes and ideologies on linguistic diversity. *Handbook of home language maintenance and development: Social and affective factors*. 2020 Jun 22;18:357.
8. Skirgård H, Haynie HJ, Blasi DE, Hammarström H, Collins J, Latache JJ, Lesage J, Weber T, Witzlack-Makarevich A, Passmore S, Chira A. Grambank reveals the importance of genealogical constraints on linguistic diversity and highlights the impact of language loss. *Science Advances*. 2023 Apr 19;9(16):eadg6175. [science.org](https://science.org)
9. Poirier J, Sheppard C. Rights and Federalism: Rethinking the Connections. *Rev. Const. Stud.* 2022;27:249.

10. Randriamaro Z. We the women: the United Nations, feminism and economic justice. *awid Spotlight*. 2004;2:1-2.
11. Faingold ED. Language Rights and the Law in Norway. In *Language Rights and the Law in Scandinavia: Sweden, Denmark, Norway, Iceland, the Faroe Islands, and Greenland* 2023 Oct 20 (pp. 105-168). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
12. Skutnabb-Kangas T, Phillipson R. Introduction: Establishing Linguistic Human Rights. *The Handbook of Linguistic Human Rights*. 2022 Nov 29:1-21. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
13. Berry ME, Lake M. Women's rights after war: On gender interventions and enduring hierarchies. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2021 Oct 13;17(1):459-81.
14. Holmes W, Tuomi I. State of the art and practice in AI in education. *European Journal of Education*. 2022 Dec;57(4):542-70.
15. Wang Y, Pan Y, Yan M, Su Z, Luan TH. A survey on ChatGPT: AI-generated contents, challenges, and solutions. *IEEE Open Journal of the Computer Society*. 2023 Aug 16.
16. Zhang D, Finckenberg-Broman P, Hoang T, Pan S, Xing Z, Staples M, Xu X. Right to be forgotten in the era of large language models: Implications, challenges, and solutions. *AI and Ethics*. 2024 Sep 10:1-0. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
17. Ahmad N. Protecting the Rights of Minorities under International Law and Implications of COVID-19: An Overview of the Indian Context. *Laws* 10: 17. *The Crisis of Religious Freedom in the Age of COVID-19 Pandemic*. 2021:127.
18. Whelan DJ, Donnelly J. The West, economic and social rights, and the global human rights regime: setting the record straight. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 2007;29(4):908-49.

**CITE AS: Akwagiobe Richard Akpanke and Aminu Bakori Mohammed. (2025). Language Rights and the Law: A Global Perspective. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF CURRENT ISSUES IN ARTS AND MANAGEMENT 4(1):5-8. <https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJCIAM/2025/4158>**