



Interpreting Contracts: The Importance of Language Precision

Kato Nabirye H. and Asiimwe Kyomugisha T.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Contracts are integral to modern economic and social systems, providing a structured framework for agreements. However, disputes often arise from ambiguous or imprecise language, necessitating robust principles of interpretation in contract law. This paper examines the critical role of language precision in drafting and interpreting contracts, addressing key concepts such as clarity, ambiguity, and contextual meaning. Through analysis of legal theories, case studies, and practical tools, the paper underscores the implications of precise drafting on reducing litigation risks and fostering equitable enforcement. It also examines emerging trends, including the integration of AI and data analytics to enhance contract clarity, positioning these advancements as transformative for future legal practice.

Keywords: Contract interpretation, language precision, ambiguity, legal drafting, litigation risk.

INTRODUCTION

Contracts function as a core feature of modern life, with agreements between parties forming the foundation of our economic and social environments. Understandably, disputes frequently arise from these contractual agreements. To cope with these disputes, contract law sets up a series of rules that help to interpret the terms of the agreement. Precisely understood language is the starting point for interpreting the agreement between parties. If a term is clear, then the term must be read according to its natural and ordinary meaning and a logical result. There are many aspects of 'clear' and uncovered words and phrases that this paper clearly outlines. Vagueness, ambiguity, and contextual meaning are each important to understand in the process of interpreting a contract. This paper focuses on the aspects and areas that make a phrase, term, or word clear. Although it is important to understand what makes language clear, the paper's main goal is to explain the implications of precise language to make sense of a contract as a whole. For a lengthy period in the area of contract law, there was a preference to explain individual words and phrases in a contract. In this sense, only clear and distinct words in the contract were to be interpreted, with no thought given to the intention of the language beyond the confined meaning of each term. There are many challenges in understanding the meaning of a contract, from the theoretical views to the various constructions and interpretations of the legal precedents themselves. Despite this, the pursuit of agreement between the parties to a contract determined many subsequent changes and reforms for interpreting contracts [1, 2].

The Role of Language Precision in Contracts

Interpreting and enforcing contracts can be highly dependent on how clear and precise the contracted language is written. Language imprecision can increase the vulnerability of a contract's invalidity, or unenforceability, or subject it to legal interpretation by the courts in the event of a dispute. The linguistic elements that significantly affect contract clarity and precision are word choice, syntax, and structure. A contract is ambiguous if one of its terms is susceptible to two or more meanings, and if the evidence of the surrounding circumstances fails to show the intended meaning. Conversely, a clear term is one that can be interpreted with only one meaning based on the evidence of the surrounding circumstances. Parties to a contract can take certain drafting precautions to reduce ambiguous language and accordingly any interpretive legal standards [3, 4]. An ambiguous language or term in a contract can lead to legal disputes. These legal disputes can result in costly litigation. The cost to the losing party could result in paying attorney's fees and costs to the prevailing party, including seeking pre-litigation alternatives before filing a lawsuit and recovering expert and court costs. Most often, an ambiguous term will be

resolved against the interest of the drafting party. Drafting with clarity can be a helpful way to mitigate legal risk. Other reasons that a court may base its decision on a contract's clear language are that litigation and disputes often resolve faster when a clear agreement exists, and if parties conduct their business under a clear agreement, they are less likely to be litigated. Ambiguity exists where a party may reasonably interpret the contract in more than one way. Parties can take steps to avoid ambiguity by taking into account the context in which the contract is formed, as well as the purpose and parties involved in the transaction [5, 6].

Case Studies and Examples

Hereinafter, I attempt to expand the interpretation of interpretation by examining the origins of interpretation in legal theory as well as the motivation for interpreting or avoiding interpretation. I turn to current problems because even if a composition of approaches is possible for a new perspective, it is not only possible to illustrate and describe interpretive theories but also to study problems offered by the real world. I realize that the following examples are very rough and unsophisticated, but at least they contain some valuable starting points. They are meant to describe actual cases of contract interpretation and to demonstrate that interpretation is an inescapable part of normal legal life, which occurs in every field of contractual practice. As a result, we encounter some common-sense sayings, thus confirming that no clever conclusion can rise above simple matters [7, 8]. The texts are intended to reveal the theoretical problems that are always implicit in practice. This case is concerned with the possible termination of a contract between the claimant and the defendant, who was sued for anticipatory repudiation. The defendant agreed to give a definite reply regarding the sale of a property before 9 a.m. on Friday. The offer was sold to a third person on Thursday. The want of precision in language was also crucial to interpretation in the case. Primarily, the decision rests upon checks that serve as express contracts of deposit [9, 10].

Tools and Strategies for Ensuring Precision

Creating precise contract language has a range of tools and strategies. One overview articulated the need to define a contract's terms and tailor the agreement to the relationship. Precision is closely related to the idea of language clarity. A contract drafter cannot use words generally and hopes contract counterparties or, if necessary, courts will figure out the details. As a result, these strategies overlap with other values that contribute to the good management of a relationship. Particularly central to precision is ensuring that key terms are denotational—that a word labels the same set of referents across a conversation or text—and that the labels are consistent [11, 12]. Many of the tools discussed in the discourse about contracts and relationships could also be seen as fostering precision. Plain language is the suggestion that contracts sound like normal communication rather than legal jargon. For example, defogging is a type of plain language that creates a common conversational gloss on the underlying legal meanings such that readers can understand the meaning should they imagine the sentence spoken aloud. Drafting contracts, either as an in-house attorney or as part of a private practice, draws on the expertise of others. The use of form documents or templates also aims to foster precision and to do so, with the associated work of reviewing and updating them, in an efficient manner. When the business interests of multiple stakeholders are implicated, a range of firm-specific actors should be involved in the drafting process [13, 14].

Future Trends

Understanding and properly interpreting contract language is essential in enforcing contractual rights and regimes. A contract is a legally enforceable set of promises, and it describes the understanding that people had at the time they agreed to an arrangement. If there is ambiguity in the language that the parties used to describe their understanding, it can be the subject of future dispute, and most legal systems require that regularly worded contracts be interpreted to favor the "person" who did not write the contract. It is also important to understand not only why we interpret contracts the way we do, but also that contract interpretation – despite the ever-increasing use of electronic means to form, execute, and enforce contracts – is as, if not more, relevant today than it was in the past. At minimum, the legal community needs to recognize these trends, while remaining prepared to adapt to future innovation [3, 15]. One easily predictable future trend is that the study of and emphasis on the use of AI and data analytics to increase the clarity of contracts. A variety of legal tech is focused on helping lawyers assess the strength or probable interpretation of a contract. One possible future implication of ongoing initiatives to implement and enhance these tools is their potential to increase the quality and speed at which contracts are formed. This is important because, until this point, the trend in moves towards "simple," plain, and generally clearer language in contracts has moved slowly at best, due in large part to the fact that there are counter incentives to the drafting parties. To the extent that these analytic tools

become more reliable and widely accepted, all participants in a contract will be able to more accurately understand the economic implications of certain drafting choices. Both the seller/assignor and the buyer/assignee will likely form more contracts around these drafting norms, saving them time and money. This will only happen, however, if legal professionals are also willing to update their understanding of contracts. A final thought: we suggested that interpreting a contract "accurately" is critical both in specific cases and in the law generally. Readers might spend a few minutes reflecting on whether they draft contracts employing language in the "most precise" way possible. If not, why not? [16, 17].

CONCLUSION

The precision of language in contract drafting is pivotal in mitigating disputes and ensuring enforceability. Ambiguity not only risks costly litigation but often disadvantages the drafting party under prevailing legal standards. This paper emphasizes that clarity in language, achieved through deliberate word choice, structured syntax, and contextual awareness, can prevent misinterpretations and streamline dispute resolution. Furthermore, the integration of AI and advanced data analytics promises to revolutionize contract drafting by enhancing clarity and enabling faster, more reliable interpretations. However, realizing this potential requires legal professionals to embrace these tools and adapt their practices accordingly. As contracts increasingly shape our digital and interconnected economy, ensuring language precision remains not only a legal imperative but a cornerstone for fostering trust and equity in contractual relationships.

REFERENCES

1. Krichen M. Formal methods and validation techniques for ensuring automotive systems security. *Information*. 2023 Dec 18;14(12):666.
2. Braun V, Clarke V. Can I use TA? Should I use TA? Should I not use TA? Comparing reflexive thematic analysis and other pattern-based qualitative analytic approaches. *Counselling and psychotherapy research*. 2021 Mar;21(1):37-47.
3. Arbel YA, Becher SI. Contracts in the age of smart readers. *Geo. Wash. L. Rev.*. 2022;90:83.
4. Posner EA. Economic analysis of contract law after three decades: Success or failure. *Yale LJ*. 2002;112:829.
5. Santos C, Rossi A, Sanchez Chamorro L, Bongard-Blanchy K, Abu-Salma R. Cookie banners, what's the purpose? Analyzing cookie banner text through a legal lens. In *Proceedings of the 20th Workshop on Workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society 2021 Nov 15* (pp. 187-194). [acm.org](https://www.acm.org)
6. Rawte V, Chakraborty S, Pathak A, Sarkar A, Tonmoy SM, Chadha A, Sheth AP, Das A. The troubling emergence of hallucination in large language models--an extensive definition, quantification, and prescriptive remediations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.04988*. 2023 Oct 8. [\[PDF\]](#)
7. Guidi S, Maisley N. Who should pay for covid-19? The inescapable normativity of international law. *NYUL Rev.*. 2021;96:375.
8. Grimm D. Three meanings of constitutional identity and their prospects in the European Union. In *European Yearbook of Constitutional Law 2022: A Constitutional Identity for the EU?* 2023 Jul 25 (pp. 13-24). The Hague: TMC Asser Press. [tilburguniversity.edu](https://www.tilburguniversity.edu)
9. Bhat SA, Huang NF. Big data and ai revolution in precision agriculture: Survey and challenges. *Ieee Access*. 2021 Aug 3;9:110209-22.
10. Ali S, Abuhmed T, El-Sappagh S, Muhammad K, Alonso-Moral JM, Confalonieri R, Guidotti R, Del Ser J, Díaz-Rodríguez N, Herrera F. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): What we know and what is left to attain Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence. *Information fusion*. 2023 Nov 1;99:101805. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
11. Karunarathna I, Gunasena P, Hapuarachchi T, Gunathilake S. Comprehensive data collection: Methods, challenges, and the importance of accuracy. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
12. Yulian R. The flipped classroom: Improving critical thinking for critical reading of EFL learners in higher education. *Studies in English Language and Education*. 2021 May 3;8(2):508-22.
13. Davis G, Rhodes RA. From hierarchy to contracts and back again: reforming the Australian public service. In *Institutions on the Edge?* 2020 Jul 25 (pp. 74-98). Routledge.
14. Borch C. Machine learning, knowledge risk, and principal-agent problems in automated trading. *Technology in society*. 2022 Feb 1;68:101852.
15. Schwartz MH. Teaching Law by Design: How Learning Theory and Instructional Design Can Inform and Reform Law Teaching. *San diego L. rev.*. 2001;38:347.

16. D'Erman VJ, Schulz DF, Verdun A, Zagermann D. The European Semester in the North and in the South: Domestic Politics and the Salience of EU-Induced Wage Reform in Different Growth Models. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 2022 Jan;60(1):21-39. wiley.com
17. Gharaibeh L, Eriksson KM, Lantz B, Matarneh S, Elghaish F. Toward digital construction supply chain-based Industry 4.0 solutions: scientometric-thematic analysis. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*. 2024 Jan 2;13(1):42-62. qub.ac.uk

CITE AS: Kato Nabirye H. and Asiimwe Kyomugisha T. (2025). Interpreting Contracts: The Importance of Language Precision. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF CURRENT ISSUES IN ARTS AND MANAGEMENT 4(1):1-4. <https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJCIAM/2025/411400>