

# Gender Representation in Film and Media

Sarah Sachar

Faculty of Education Kampala International University Uganda

Email: [sarah.achar@studmc.kiu.ac.ug](mailto:sarah.achar@studmc.kiu.ac.ug)

## ABSTRACT

The representation of gender in film and media plays an important role in shaping societal norms and influencing public perception of gender roles. This paper examines gender portrayals across media, spanning historical, theoretical, and cultural perspectives to address both the constraints and transformations within gender representations. By analyzing stereotypes, tropes, and the influence of feminist and queer theories, this study sheds light on the impact of media's portrayal of gender on societal attitudes and expectations. Additionally, it investigates how intersectionality complicates gender representation by considering the combined influences of race, sexuality, and socioeconomic status. This review provides a comprehensive critique of how film and media continue to shape gender narratives while pushing toward a more inclusive, multi-faceted understanding of gender identities.

**Keywords:** Gender Representation, Media Studies, Film Theory, Stereotypes, Intersectionality, Feminist Theory.

## INTRODUCTION

In both classic and popular films, as well as in general media and cultural studies, the representation of gender is a primary focus. How a particular gender is depicted greatly influences shaping societal parameters, denoting signs, and defining norms. In light of this, it becomes important to analyze how gender is depicted. In the field of film, a majority of central critical journals support and encourage researchers to explore different ways that gender is represented in film, such as expression, narrative, relationships, performance of power, authority, ordination, aural visualization, text, or sexuality. Films can play a part in the politics of portrayal by using symbols and signs. A diverse array of media and their respective expressions of reality also portray images of women, which influence audiences in different ways [1, 2]. This paper examines the layers of women's relationships with media culture, gender positioning, and stereotypes by examining various forms of media in relation to women. I will discuss portrayals, audience reception, equity, and the economic status of these forms of communication, covering texts critically with the aim of opening up the discussion and readings toward a more female-inclusive frame. It is important at the start to clarify and understand the relevance of questioning this to grasp the underlying purpose of these media contexts [3, 4].

### Historical Perspectives on Gender Portrayal

In recent years, representations of gender, sexual orientation, and exceptional bodies on screen, in television, and in video games have become a subject of public and academic interest. Before we examine contemporary representations, however, we can gather some historical context by looking at the way gender was portrayed in media and popular culture in earlier historical eras. How society was allowed to portray gender, and which aspects viewers were to reflect and respect, displayed a shifting value system. The value of these aspects and the evolving portrayal of them were in relation to viewers and the media creators. What males and females displayed in public over time changed, and so this was mirrored onscreen and in print. The cultural, social, and political settings influenced a survey of society in media

<https://rjournals.com/research-in-education/>

and the arts of their time [2, 5]. The way we view earlier male-female relationships depicted in films is seen as stereotypical characterizations of men and women. However, many films in the 20th century depict strong, progressive, and independent women, shown even in portrayals of women at the turn of the century during times of separatism. Many of the male stereotype characters in the early films of the century are strongly individualistic in nature and may actually make selfish choices at times that affect their friends and community. In the 20th and 21st century films, men and women are workmates and at times equal in the workplace, even when noting that this is not the real truth in the work climate currently in our society [6, 7]. Eventually, many had given up on the separateness of men and women in films and the lifestyle of, by, and for the woman, especially since so many seemed to prefer their wives and daughters to be represented in these stories. *The Women* was originally a play in 1936, which premiered in New York City in 1937. It was about the rapidly disappearing phase of our country as a world apart, and the woman in it lives a life of privacy, luxury, and almost complete helplessness. The play not only showed aspects of women's lives but it removed the men altogether, thus emphasizing the lives of women uninhibited by the male world. *The Women* would be made into a motion picture in 1939 and again in 1956, and both films portrayed the same basic premise of women of society doing what women of society do when there are no men about, namely, being very catty, very gossipy, and sometimes even quite supportive of one another. However, by 1940, this was a somewhat outdated concept in the world of cinema where women were more than just wives, mothers, or society matrons, and there were a few films where women were leading so-called separate lives, these women who were not the wives and mothers of society on a daily basis. For example, there was one critically successful film every year about a "woman in business" battling against a hostile takeover. In a male-only spiritedly debated filmdom, women's films of the thirties and into the forties were often overlooked as workplace adventures [8, 9].

#### **Theoretical Frameworks for Analyzing Gender Representation**

Media texts can be understood and analyzed through various theoretical frameworks. The foundation of most contemporary work on gender and media reflects and abstracts from feminist film theory, usually to argue for the improvement or creation of female characters, directors, and writers. However, there are many theoretical orientations, all of which are used to see, analyze, write about, and teach gender representation in different ways [10, 11]. Feminist film theory investigates the representation of one gender, although usually in relation to the other gender or in relation to a normative gender. It typically assumes that media texts reflect, support, and/or critique social structures. Queer theory sometimes shares this assumption, but generally argues more forcefully that media texts and practices can also resist and critique ideology, and that media products and/or the readings of them may question or blur the assumptions of sex/gender binarism. Media studies, a little different from the above, are very interested in audiences and industry practices as involved in the construction of gendered subjectivities, as social profit, or as representing or resisting social order [12, 13]. Finally, of course, theorists of various stripes usually want to write about media texts to discuss representations of a variety of genders or sexualities. A psychoanalytic perspective on gender representation may explore, for example, the construction of femininity and/or masculinity, woman or man as an image. This could contrast with a cultural studies approach that would typically be engaged with a wide range of gender and sexuality representations, such as boys and lesbians [14, 15].

#### **Gender Stereotypes and Tropes in Film and Media**

Numerous gender stereotypes and age-old tropes exist in films and media. When it comes to female characters, you have the femme fatale, the damsel in distress, the sexy librarian, and many others. On the other hand, male characters are represented as aggressive, muscular, heroic figures. Other typical characters that are always linked to them are the man of steel, the prankster, the protector, and so on. This keeps particularly women confined to only those roles, thereby perpetuating certain societal norms and expectations. These storylines, in resonance with the characters, push forward sexism rather than challenging it. Media tropes as such do have an impact on audience perspective as well as binary thinking of gender roles [16, 17]. Such given characters and roles have been seen in the past as well as continue to exist given the present landscape of cinema and television. One such example in point can be the popular series, which epitomizes the association of the hot body playing an important role as the centerpiece of sexual attraction. From perfect waves to a killer, gold-digging image, to a music video that has been critiqued for promoting certain cultures, are encompassed with such tales of objectifying women. Factor in the over-romanticized characters led by young Hollywood, and what you get is a proliferation of dated stereotypes: limited, weak, reliant characters devoid of an independent idea or thought. Yet today, these very stereotypes are being challenged with various films, TV series, or narratives offering a more holistic, inclusive point of view that does not conform to sexist norms [18, 19].

### Intersectionality In Gender Representation

Intersectionality is the concept that various identities and personal qualities one inhabits intersect in one's life and determine one's position of privilege or disadvantage. This term was first conceptualized in 1989. The analogy of a traffic intersection, where various roads converge, shows the convergence of race, class, gender, sex, and sexuality as sites of oppression. Members of oppressed groups do not experience one type of discrimination. Instead, the oppression of race may intersect with gender and promote different types of oppression. A Black person may experience racism, but a Black woman experiences racism as well as sexism. Similarly, a lower-class white woman may experience sexism, but an upper-class white woman will experience oppression differently from the lower-class woman. When one adds other identity markers such as religion, sexuality, and ability into the mix, this makes the experience of sexism even more complex [20, 21]. According to intersectional theory, it is not possible to have a monolithic experience of being a woman. The oppression of women is connected to the patriarchal system, but the way this is experienced by different women is embedded with additional layers of complexity. The experiences of women of color and white women are vastly different. Sexual minorities may experience oppression because of their sexuality, which does not necessarily happen to straight women. Women with disabilities may experience both sexism and ableism. Trans women may experience violence targeted towards their transgender identity, not just their female identity. It is also very difficult to measure the effect of all axes of oppression on the experience of women's lives in diverse parts of the world. Political leaders come from different socioeconomic backgrounds but have vastly different socioeconomic and racial backgrounds [22, 23].

### CONCLUSION

The representation of gender in film and media continues to wield significant influence on public perceptions and cultural norms. Through stereotypical portrayals, the media has historically reinforced restrictive gender roles, although recent shifts indicate a gradual move toward more complex and varied representations. This study highlights the need for further intersectional and inclusive approaches to gender depiction in media, as representation goes beyond gender binaries to encompass a range of identities and lived experiences shaped by race, sexuality, and class. By advancing these discussions, we can foster a media landscape that better reflects the diversity of human experience and challenges traditional power structures, paving the way for broader societal change in the perceptions and realities of gender roles.

### REFERENCES

1. Eder J. Understanding characters. *Projections*. 2010 Jun 1;4(1):16-40.
2. Bollas A. Men, sides, and homosexism: A small-scale empirical study of the lived experiences of men who identify as sides. *Journal of Homosexuality*. 2024 Jul 2;71(8):1867-79.
3. Wright DJ. Thetis of the Silver Screen: The Vengeful and Cosmic Goddess in Clash of the Titans. The Staying Power of Thetis: Allusion, Interaction, and Reception from Homer to the 21st Century. 2023 Apr 27;140:411.
4. Gershon D. Same shame: national, regional, and international discourses surrounding Shoaib Mansoor's cinematic portrayal of gender oppression. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2021 May 19;21(4):556-69.
5. Santos A, Cerqueira C, Cabecinhas R. "Challenging it softly": A feminist inquiry into gender in the news media context. *Feminist Media Studies*. 2022 Jan 2;22(1):66-82.
6. Dowd J, Crabtree AK, Cannon BC. Movies, gender, and social change: the hollywood romance film. *Journal of Gender Studies*. 2023 Feb 17;32(2):201-14.
7. Milosavljević I, Ercegovac I, Carroll A. The Role of Women in the Production and Distribution of Series in Yugoslavia—Creators of Socialist Cultural Heritage. *Гласник Етнографског института САНУ*. 2024 Jan 25;71(3):65-84.
8. Gidney C. Feminist Ideals and Everyday Life: Professional Women's Feminism at Victoria College, University of Toronto 1900-40. *Feminist History in Canada: New Essays on Gender, Work, and Nation*.:96-117.
9. Witonsky T. "Something like Bringing the Entire Life": Muriel Rukeyser's Personal, Poetic and Social Development in the 1930s. *Women's Studies*. 2021 May 19;50(4):354-81.
10. Medina Bañón R, Zecchi B. Technologies of Age1: The Intersection of Feminist Film Theory and Aging Studies. *Investigaciones Feministas*. 2020 Jul 1;11(2).

11. Bracke MA, Bullock JC, Morris P, Schulz K. Reconsidering Feminism Since 1945 Through Encounter, Translation, and Resignification: Towards a Historical Narrative. *Translating Feminism: Interdisciplinary Approaches to Text, Place and Agency*. 2021:1-42.
12. Jones P. Diana in the World of Men: a character network approach to analysing gendered vocal representation in *Wonder Woman*. *Feminist media studies*. 2020 Jan 2;20(1):18-34.
13. Schiele K, Louie L, Chen S. Marketing feminism in youth media: A study of Disney and Pixar animation. *Business Horizons*. 2020 Sep 1;63(5):659-69.
14. Thomson K. An analysis of LGBTQ+ representation in television and film. *Bridges: An Undergraduate Journal of Contemporary Connections*. 2021;5(1):7. [wlu.ca](http://wlu.ca)
15. Ferrari F, Mancini T. Gender binary thémata in social representations of sexual minorities: A ten year scoping review. *Sexuality & Culture*. 2020 Dec;24(6):2202-29.
16. Barclay FP, Laskar KA, editors. *Gender and Popular Visual Culture in India: 'benevolent' Sexism and Disguised Discrimination*. Taylor & Francis; 2023 Nov 3.
17. Barclay FP, Laskar KA. New Wine in Old Bottle: Benevolent Sexism in the Indian Popular Visual Media. In *Gender and Popular Visual Culture in India 2024* (pp. 14-33). Routledge. [\[HTML\]](#)
18. Lido C, Swyer A, De Amicis L. Social psychology of identity and stereotyping in the media. *The Psychology of Journalism*. 2021 Jun 18:168.
19. Aspler J, Harding KD, Cascio MA. Representation matters: Race, gender, class, and intersectional representations of autistic and disabled characters on television. *Studies in Social Justice*. 2022 Mar 11;16(2):323-48. [brocku.ca](http://brocku.ca)
20. Axelrod M, Vona M, Colwell JN, Fakoya K, Salim SS, Webster DG, de la Torre-Castro M. Understanding gender intersectionality for more robust ocean science. *Earth System Governance*. 2022 Aug 1;13:100148. [sciencedirect.com](http://sciencedirect.com)
21. Monroy M, Cowen AS, Keltner D. Intersectionality in emotion signaling and recognition: The influence of gender, ethnicity, and social class. *Emotion*. 2022 Dec;22(8):1980.
22. Phipps A. White tears, white rage: Victimhood and (as) violence in mainstream feminism. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. 2021 Feb;24(1):81-93.
23. Lorde A. Age, race, class, and sex: Women redefining difference. In *Campus wars 2021* Jan 7 (pp. 191-198). Routledge.

**CITE AS: Sarah Sachar. (2024). Gender Representation in Film and Media. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN EDUCATION 4(3):33-36. <https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJRE/2024/433336>**