The Impact of Public Health on Health Policy

Nambi Namusisi H.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This review looks at how public health influences health policy, with a focus on population-based illness prevention and health promotion programs. Public health, through study and advocacy, serves as the scientific underpinning for health policy creation. The relationship between public health and policy development is investigated, as well as the obstacles and limits associated with implementing health policies. Case studies showcase successful public health projects, emphasizing the importance of community involvement, cross-sector collaboration, and evidence-based decision-making in meeting public health goals. Finally, the review emphasizes the importance of continuously adapting health policy to address changing public health concerns.

Keywords: Public health, health policy, disease prevention, health promotion, evidence-based policy.

INTRODUCTION

This review examines ways in which public health has an influence on health policy. The word 'policy' refers to decisions made by governments or private health care providers to achieve specific outcomes. The word 'public' refers to the people who are the intended beneficiaries of health programs. The primary goal of this essay is to increase your understanding of what needs to be done to ensure that the public's health is as good as it can be. Secondary goals are to give you an incentive to pursue a career working in or on behalf of a governmental public health organization and to increase your appreciation for the influence of governmental health authorities in protecting and promoting health [1, 2]. Public health refers to population-based efforts to prevent disease and promote health. From the time that cities were first established, authorities have attempted to remove waste and other waste associated with human life. There have also been attempts to quarantine the sick and to conduct autopsies to better understand the cause of death. The collection of such efforts comprises the foundation of public health. Over time, the evolution of public health has been shaped by factors. Each has been associated with an awareness of new threats and/or an increasing ability to do something about them. In each case, public health authorities have assumed an increasing level of responsibility for promoting the public's health. A growing commitment to promoting health has been associated with the development of preventive services such as those offered in clinics. The creation of programs to promote exercise highlighted another shift in emphasis. The range of factors known to cause premature death and lower quality of life has also expanded. Directly or indirectly, these factors have influenced governmental health policy. Public health authorities are one of the main sources of information and advice that the government uses to decide what to do to improve the public's health. They are also one of the main groups responsible for implementing health programs [3, 4].

The Role of Public Health in Shaping Health Policy

As one of the major contributors to the scientific knowledge base and evidence-informed decision-making, public health provides key foundational elements for health policy. Policymakers depend on public health for research and data that can help to make it easier for their constituents to make healthy decisions. Public health research is used to change policy through advocacy, building support for policies, and using systematic methods to support continued growth and increasing reach for effective policies. Leadership can come from public health professionals, local citizens, and local and national non-profit organizations.

Public health emergencies are often the causes of the creation of new policies. Outbreaks of sexually transmitted infections often lead to bed check policies; emergent flu strains and SARS also led to isolation policies. One of the goals of public health is to inform the public about health issues and how to protect oneself and loved ones. Most of the information and resources come from lawmakers and elected officials. Policy change can be difficult and slow. A law that helped ships carry more people and produce larger and greater pollution in the 1940s was finally changed 50 years later. The garbage barge of 1998 that couldn't find a place to dump her load raised much public discussion and talk, and finally, action was taken. The idea of stuffing a landfill with garbage has been happening for years and was hard to change in this instance [5, 6]. A specific example of a law regarding traffic was started to reduce pollution. Vehicle emissions, especially from gasoline engines, can cause air pollution. On the day a law was passed, people didn't have to drive, and like that, the air was cleaner. This new law to reduce duty and pollution was so difficult and so unsupported that the government voted to suspend the anti-pollution rule one year later, even though it no longer existed. It had given the mentality that the 1936 law had changed driving and traffic behavior. Other examples are serving-size laws for soft drinks in public schools, avian flu precautions, seatbelt recalls, and smoking sections in restaurants. Public health practice provides policies based on evidence-based science. This habit allows for a continuum of tools from surveillance data that can undergird all other practices and provide safety to all people and not just a few. No matter your ethnicity, gender, age, profession, income, education, or religious beliefs, the same evidence-based rules can be submitted by government officials to promote health, protect life, and prevent the spread of disease. Law is an important part of moving and sustaining a public health goal in this country. It allows for a uniform standard for all of us in public places and in the workforce. The gap is that laws don't move or respond fast enough to the ever-shifting dynamic world of science, media, public outcry, and fear. The reality is that not all legislation is enacted due to the fears of some. Some laws are created due to serious health issues that are hazardous to an at-risk population or group [7, 8].

Key Concepts and Theories in Public Health Policy

Public health policy impacts the individual experiences of health and the likelihood of early death. The development and formulation of health policy operate on a system of theories and suppositions about the definition of health, individual behavior and experience, and the function of society. The world of public health policy involves familiarizing oneself with several key concepts and bodies of literature, including the meaning of "health," the evolution of public health, theoretical perspectives on the meaning of disparities and access to medical care, how medical care contributes to population health, and the meaning of "health policy" [9, 10]. Public health is based on several core policy terms and arguments. The basic concepts of public health include an understanding of health at a population level, primarily as a function of exposures to risk factors or "determinants." There are several models of this understanding of health and how it relates to public health. These models include "upstream" causes of health at the societal level that in turn influence individual "downstream" behavior. The "Determinants of Health" asserts a focus on the social, economic, environmental, and physical structures that influence health and public health outcomes. The "Behavioral model" focuses on health as an individual or intrapersonal construct determined through the aggregation of behaviors, habits, and lifestyle choices. The legislative, regulatory, social, and physical environment of the legislative system embodies the primary vehicle of public health services and initiatives. Other elements of public health include community and consumer engagement in public health endeavors, as well as health promotion and preventative services. Public health "ethologies," or examinations of factors or exposures that constitute societal-level risk factors, health promotion, and the public health service system emphasize health gains at the population, rather than the individual, level. Public health, therefore, incorporates an explicit equity perspective in terms of social justice and fairness. In concert with public health, the provision of medical care tends to offer an individual-level assessment in terms of access, quality of care, and health outcomes. Public health represents a balance between group and individual experiences. Evaluations of public health inputs, processes, outputs, and outcomes are increasingly encompassing quality of care measures to assess effectiveness and impact. Across both public health clinics and hospitals, quality can be perceived as three key variables: structure, process, and outcome by which public health system performance can be gauged. Ethical issues and statements can apply to all policy deliberations, including public health policy. Public health policies often involve measures that can infringe upon certain personal interests for the sake of producing an overall public health impact. Protracted discussions may be required to clarify issues at this juncture [11, 12].

Case Studies: Successful Implementation of Public Health Policies

The case studies presented are just a few of many to illustrate the complex array of factors responsible for successful public health policies. Each highlights evidence-based policies or programs that have been

implemented to achieve positive health outcomes in various settings. The four brief 'exemplar' case studies were selected based on criteria outlined in the first article in this series, all contributing to the National Discussion Paper on the Social Determinants of Health in Australia and recommended to illustrate possible ways in which public health can influence and support health policy. Each case study outlines the context for program development and implementation, as well as factors believed to have contributed to the success of the policy or program based on impact evaluation data. These include intersectoral involvement in the production of health-promoting goods or services; the importance of supportive physical and social environments; and community participation in decisions about the design, implementation, and evaluation of policies and interventions. Finally, each case study provides some quantitative data measuring the impact of the health plan or policy on one of several health indicators [13, 1]. While the evidence-based factors for success found in the respective case studies differ depending on the policy target and the context, some common themes and lessons also emerged in each study. All of the case studies suggest systemic changes are required to improve population health outcomes; these are likely to require significant cross-sectoral understanding and input. It was notable across each of the case studies how the goals of public health intersectoral action were achieved through non-health portfolios, suggesting a broader definition of 'health' was used in implementing the policies and programs. All of the case studies point to the importance of community involvement and ownership of the policy. The importance of identifying and involving key stakeholders who could play a significant role in supporting public health policies, or indeed detract from their success, was also noted. Highly relevant settings for public health policy development continue to be encountered with at-risk groups and prime community settings like schools. The usefulness of targeted action to meet public health objectives was highlighted, especially in populations that are vulnerable and might be most at high risk of poor health outcomes. Finally, all of the case studies reinforce the argument that public health, defined as population health outcomes, is a significant and quantifiable public benefit to be actively promoted in publicly funded systems [9, 14].

Challenges and Limitations in Implementing Public Health Policies

Some numerous challenges and realities limit the successful implementation of public health policies. The limited availability of financial resources often precludes implementation. Political resistance at the highest levels of government also frequently limits both implementation and the possibility of passage. At the local and state levels, political agendas both within and between state governmental bodies may present barriers to public health enactment. The fact that 'public health' is a separate entity from 'medical care' underscores this same problem distinction and potential division among various professional categories. In some cases, the general public does not understand important public health principles and why they matter overall. Initial popularity does not always guarantee successful short-term implementation since popular legislation can fall from favor as economic conditions, as well as social, cultural, and political environments, shift and change [11, 5]. Some systemic aspects of public health also preclude successful implementation. 'Health for all' and 'equity as a value' remain largely unattainable goals. There are significant gaps in access, distribution, and even high-quality health services. Recent studies of population health have shown 'wide variation in socioeconomic status mortality gradients', suggesting that, theoretically, there should be negligible, or at least more circumscribed, health disparities in a universal, single-payer system. There are also a handful of well-published examples, usually in the form of autopsy studies on dead-on-arrival trauma victims or children who died from asthma, of public health systems that had difficulty verifying children's cause of death. Evaluation is crucial, as evidenced by universal failures to improve road safety in countless countries. Some of the reasons or excuses for this lack of progress include the following: rapid population growth negates technological and infrastructure trends; lack of accurate transportation crash data and enforcement efforts; inadequate advocacy organizations; heavy psychological barriers toward discrimination against potential victims and/or actual offenders; widespread, retrospective panic when a disparate number of victims pile up in very specific and often politically unprotected or otherwise ignored segments of society. Similarly, arguments now assert that the time and cost of drawing a flowchart for the future implementation of a policy effectively write the obituary before the program starts. Precedents include significant historical events [16, 17].

CONCLUSION

Public health plays an important role in determining health policy by providing the data and information required to support policy decisions. Collaboration among public health officials, policymakers, and communities produces policies that promote health and prevent disease. However, implementing health policy faces numerous hurdles, including financial restrictions, political opposition, and inequality in

access to care. Learning from successful case studies can help future health policies be more responsive and effective in addressing public health challenges. Continuous efforts to adapt and improve health policies are critical for ensuring the population's well-being.

REFERENCES

1. Buse K, Mays N, Colombini M, Fraser A, Khan M, Walls H. Making Health Policy, 3e. McGraw Hill; 2023 Sep 8.
2. Ham C. Health policy in Britain: The politics and organization of the National Health Service. Routledge; 2020 Oct 28.
3. Gostin LO, Friedman EA, Wetter SA. Responding to COVID-19: how to navigate a public health emergency legally and ethically. Hastings center report. 2020 Mar;50(2):8-12.
4. Cadogan CA, Hughes CM. On the frontline against COVID-19: Community pharmacists' contribution during a public health crisis. Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy. 2021 Jan 1;17(1):2032-5. nih.gov
5. Sharma M. Theoretical foundations of health education and health promotion. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2021 Jul 14.
6. Nutbeam DO. Health promotion glossary. Health promotion. 1986 May 1;1(1):113-27.
7. Hunger JM, Smith JP, Tomiyama AJ. An evidence-based rationale for adopting weight-inclusive health policy. Social Issues and Policy Review. 2020 Jan;14(1):73-107. centreforintuitiveeating.com.au
8. Brownson RC, Kumanyika SK, Kreuter MW, Haire-Joshu D. Implementation science should give higher priority to health equity. Implementation Science. 2021 Dec;16:1-6. springer.com
9. Wang Y, Zhao L, Gao L, Pan A, Xue H. Health policy and public health implications of obesity in China. The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology. 2021 Jul 1;9(7):446-61. [HTML]
10. Goldsteen RL, Goldsteen K, Dwelle T, Dwelle TL. Introduction to Public Health: Promises and Practice. Springer Publishing Company; 2014 Jul 17.
11. Chandanabhumma PP, Narasimhan S. Towards health equity and social justice: An applied framework of decolonization in health promotion. Health Promotion International. 2020 Aug;35(4):831-40. [HTML]
12. Todic J, Cook SC, Spitzer-Shohat S, Williams Jr JS, Battle BA, Jackson J, Chin MH. Critical theory, culture change, and achieving health equity in health care settings. Academic Medicine. 2022 Jul 1;97(7):977-88. lww.com
13. Hoffmann T, Bennett S, Del Mar C. Evidence-based practice across the health professions. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2023 Sep 27.
14. Ayouni I, Maatoug J, Dhouib W, Zammit N, Fredj SB, Ghammam R, Ghannem H. Effective public health measures to mitigate the spread of COVID-19: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2021 May 29;21(1):1015. springer.com
15. Arriola LR, Grossman AN. Ethnic marginalization and (non) compliance in public health emergencies. The Journal of Politics. 2021 Jul 1;83(3):807-20.
16. AlOmari F. Measuring gaps in healthcare quality using SERVQUAL model: challenges and opportunities in developing countries. Measuring Business Excellence. 2021 Nov 24;25(4):407-20.
17. World Health Organization. Action framework to advance universal access to safe, effective and quality-assured blood products 2020–2023. World Health Organization; 2020 Mar 16.