



# The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Predicting Epidemics

Nassimbwa Kabanda D.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

Despite tremendous advances in public health, epidemics remain a major concern due to variables such as fast population expansion, increasing travel, and migration. Given the rapidity with which modern epidemics spread, traditional strategies for epidemic prediction and management have frequently proven ineffective. Artificial intelligence (AI) provides a disruptive approach to forecasting and controlling outbreaks. This study investigates the evolution of epidemic prediction, from old statistical methods to modern AI-powered models. It looks at how various AI approaches, such as machine learning and natural language processing, can be used to anticipate epidemic outbreaks. The study uses case examples to demonstrate the effectiveness of AI in forecasting epidemics while also highlighting the limitations and ethical implications connected with these technologies. The findings highlight AI's ability to improve epidemic preparedness and response, providing insights into how these technologies might be optimised for future public health concerns.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Epidemic Prediction, Machine Learning, Big Data, Public Health Surveillance

## INTRODUCTION

In the early 20th century, the fear of being infected was one of the major concerns of people, as outbreaks, pandemics, and epidemics were highly fatal. Although epidemics have decreased since the late 20th century, their impact on public health is still very high. In our current society, the rapid growth of the population has been accompanied by an increase in the frequency of travel and migration. This has accelerated the rate of epidemics, requiring the scientific community to develop new approaches for the prediction, control, and management of diseases. Traditional models and methods for combating outbreaks are too slow and cannot respond to the speed of the developments of modern epidemics. There is now a growing need to speed up the prediction and control of diseases. For these reasons, there is a need to use innovative methods and techniques that can predict and prevent potential damage. The use of artificial intelligence in public health is a promising and transformative field, as it allows us to avoid time-consuming processes and human error. It is considered one of the main drivers for improving the prediction and preparedness for future pandemics. We are currently in the era of AI and big data, and these tools have significantly helped in disease containment and control over the years. Time is key in detecting and diagnosing these diseases, which is why it is of great importance to predict or forecast the occurrence of epidemics ahead of time [1].

## HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF EPIDEMIC PREDICTION

Before the deployment of artificial intelligence, epidemics were largely a game of prediction. In larger-scale epidemics, diseases have claimed millions of lives before they are even linked to any specific cause. Traditional methods employed in trying to predict these events largely relied on observation and the collection of data from past occurrences. During this time, basic statistics were employed in guessing the future etiology of epidemics. In recent years, computational models have been adopted in epidemic prediction. Since then, the epidemiological field has become progressively computational, which in essence has augmented anticipation capacity. However, epidemics used to shock the globe at unprecedented

scales, for example, the magnitude of the bubonic plague which is estimated to have claimed up to 25 million lives [2]. The dependence on such data has witnessed growth since epidemics caused by less virulent pathogens have become more frequent. Of late, several outbreaks have led to an increase in the emphasis on predicting outbreaks. Major outbreaks such as influenza A and H1N1 have led to the waxing and waning of the development of the field, with new epidemics sprouting at the brink of the wake of previous pandemics. In an era when modern-day pandemics have witnessed the displacement of seasonal pathogens, a re-emergence of pandemic prediction has become more relevant. An important metric in treating an epidemic is the monitoring of the occurrences, which requires substantial computational power acquired through the use of artificial intelligence. Perception of the extent and fear can be done through the use of data-driven models. The spectrum of artificial intelligence applicable to disease modeling can be loosely and broadly split into two categories: machine learning algorithms and low-level artificial intelligence tools such as graphical models, pattern recognition techniques, and multi-agent systems. In combination with predictive algorithms, large datasets can be used to predict outcomes and contribute to the prevention, rather than mitigation, of epidemics. This represents a departure from traditional methodology, and it is helpful to understand the path to which we have arrived [3].

### **ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUES FOR EPIDEMIC PREDICTION**

With artificial intelligence techniques widely available, we can resort to these methods to assist in predicting epidemic outbreaks. Especially with the rapid spread of diseases with severe respiratory syndromes during the pandemic, several technologies, like machine learning models, natural language processing, and data analytics, can be employed to predict infectious disease outbreaks. Supervised and unsupervised learning models are algorithms that are often used to analyze big medical data through mining patterns or modeling relationships by using labeled or unlabeled datasets for training, respectively. Also, these methods can be blended with conventional mathematical models to provide more accurate predictions by evaluating the performance of both models when used in combination to optimize the explanation of variability in the input data [4]. In providing an effective understanding of a rapidly spreading disease, several data sources can be used, aside from electronic health records, clinical trials, and preclinical experiments for pandemic modeling and simulation studies. In predicting the epidemic caused by virus infections, existing studies should focus on methods such as time series prediction, rule-based reasoning, and Bayesian estimation methods. More recent developments in the area of public health surveillance have included methodologies that combine the results of statistical modeling and health care utilization with information derived from non-traditional data sources, including social media and internet reports [5]. In contrast with traditional approaches, AI methods such as machine learning and deep learning are capable of automatically learning patterns of available data and processing high-dimensional information, showing promise in prediction analysis with the use of big data. In terms of the development of using AI to predict global infectious diseases, there are now several acceleration technologies that have gained interest in both research and clinical applications [6].

### **CASE STUDIES OF AI IN EPIDEMIC PREDICTION**

There are real-world applications of AI in epidemic prediction that might serve as useful case studies for future AI approaches. Despite the criticism AI has faced in the public sphere, there is a great chance that a collection of expert knowledge and data might be able to forecast pandemic outbreaks. The accuracy of an AI model was tested on online surveillance reports; on examination, a significant percentage of the reports were forecasted before actual findings were released. Other infectious disease databases have been used to test AI models trained on new reports since. In a majority of the cases, the model forecasted a statistically significant outbreak 1 to 4 months in advance. This was achieved thanks to searches, including web scraping through various health-related websites. As an example, funding has been provided to manufacturing companies to stockpile test kits in case of an immediate pandemic [7]. After an AI-using internet surveillance tool was reported, others joined it to help control an outbreak. A team has planned to address the outbreak with AI. The entire process took a short period. This model uses a simple machine as a data source: a major online retailer. By obtaining pharmaceutical data, algorithmic data was created. In practical cases, this model has been able to forecast the trend in prescription purchases and subsequent hospital visits for infectious patients. A city has employed this model to forecast the number of patients and predict the timing of a specific treatment requirement. This case was used to forecast the number of patients and to predict the time interval until the need for the treatment. A demand pattern is provided by the model for when to start the treatment. Another region has applied AI to predict infections as well. In this case, there was a need to determine the health workers' sensitivity to exposures in hospitals. A decision tree model was used to establish a monitoring framework. There is no chance of predicting that a patient will show up. A number of factors, such as symptoms, potential

conflicts, origin of exposure, and therapy, have an impact on the resulting patient. A side effect is predicted to be contagion outside of the hospital as a result of these symptoms with sensitivity to about a certain percentage. More accurate monitoring to predict patient presentation was performed by an advanced model. The effects of the patient's age, number of medications, infection days, county residence, the use of certain medications, admission to the emergency room, potential for repeat excursions, and concomitant symptoms were forecasted in multiple hospitals. The advanced model offered a considerable advancement in performance over a decision tree. As a result, both techniques were made to track the area of interest [8].

#### **CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN AI-BASED EPIDEMIC PREDICTION**

As with any public health intervention, the deployment of AI-driven predictive technologies as part of an epidemic early warning system should be subjected to ethical scrutiny. Broadly speaking, such systems may be constructed in ways that mitigate some of the inequalities between countries, whether it be income, infrastructure, research, or political status, which currently disqualify many areas from the timely interventions of traditional global surveillance systems. This is not to say that AI-driven predictive technologies could never have adverse effects, but that it is the specific implementation that will determine the extent to which these might occur. However, clear challenges need to be met if we are to ensure that these potential positive effects are not eclipsed by ethical and social harms [9]. For an AI-driven predictive system to operate effectively and respect autonomy, a vast amount of data that relates to not only individuals, but also industries, environments, and international relations needs to be made available to health authorities. In addition to obstacles already presented by the patchwork of existing data protection laws, it is unlikely that there will be public support for data sharing aimed at preventing hypothetical or future disease threats, especially when traditional challenges to public health tend to still be vexingly underfunded. The lack of data presents a serious practical difficulty in creating AI-driven predictive models. Ensuring that the models incorporate non-biased data is another specific problem faced by the developers of AI-driven predictive technologies—especially when such technologies are used in a way to prioritize and direct resources in relation to an infectious disease threat. The complex nature of the problem and the vast number of variables involved make it difficult to ensure that the prediction is not skewed in the direction of some populations or interests. To ensure the quality of this area, careful consideration would also need to be given to what kind of information should be omitted from the predictive model especially because it stands to be consequential in whether or not timely action is taken to prevent an epidemic. Transparency is of the utmost importance in AI-driven disease prediction. Accurate and sustained prediction depends upon models being fed accurate data in as real-time as possible. For information to be communicated quickly and in a way that engenders trust, AI prediction must provide clear explanations of its drivers, data sources, and the limitations of data, so that any ethical hurdles are fully apparent across the prediction process. Technology developers must also work in consultation with a wide variety of stakeholders in the sector to ensure a wide-ranging ethic that protects the interests of all those affected. At the same time, prediction technology developers must be flexible and able to respond quickly to the changing and unpredictable nature of the epidemic while ensuring that already adopted ethical principles are adhered to. These practices should also be enshrined in ethical frameworks and internationally accepted principles to guide the development and use of AI prediction to prevent and control future pandemics and disease outbreaks [10].

#### **CONCLUSION**

Artificial intelligence is a big advance in the realm of epidemic prediction and management. By combining machine learning algorithms, natural language processing, and large-scale data analytics, AI has shown the potential to anticipate epidemics with higher accuracy and speed than previous techniques. Case studies show that AI-driven models can forecast outbreaks months in advance, giving critical time for planning and response. However, the deployment of AI in public health faces various hurdles, including data privacy, bias, and transparency. Ensuring ethical procedures and broad stakeholder engagement is critical for maximising AI's benefits while avoiding potential hazards. As technology advances, AI is anticipated to play an increasingly important role in epidemic prediction, assisting in the protection of global health and the more effective management of future outbreaks.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Tshimula JM, Kalengayi M, Makenga D, Lilonge D, Asumani M, Madiya D, Kalonji ÉN, Kanda H, Galekwa RM, Kumbu J, Mikese H. Artificial Intelligence for Public Health Surveillance in Africa: Applications and Opportunities. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.02575. 2024 Aug 5. [PDF]
2. Sridhar D. Preventable: How a pandemic changed the world & how to stop the next one. Penguin UK; 2022 Apr 21.

<https://rijournals.com/public-health-and-pharmacy/>

3. Timilsina S, Potnis N, Newberry EA, Liyanapathiranage P, Iruegas-Bocardo F, White FF, Goss EM, Jones JB. Xanthomonas diversity, virulence and plant–pathogen interactions. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2020 Aug;18(8):415-27. [nsf.gov](https://www.nsf.gov)
4. Zagrouba R, Khan MA, Saleem MA, Mushtaq MF, Rehman A, Khan MF. Modelling and Simulation of COVID-19 Outbreak Prediction Using Supervised Machine Learning. *Computers, Materials & Continua*. 2021 Mar 1;66(3).
5. Baker RE, Mahmud AS, Miller IF, Rajeev M, Rasambainarivo F, Rice BL, Takahashi S, Tatem AJ, Wagner CE, Wang LF, Wesolowski A. Infectious disease in an era of global change. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2022 Apr;20(4):193-205. [nature.com](https://www.nature.com)
6. Jiao Z, Ji H, Yan J, Qi X. Application of big data and artificial intelligence in epidemic surveillance and containment. *Intelligent medicine*. 2023 Feb 1;3(1):36-43.
7. Saba AI, Elsheikh AH. Forecasting the prevalence of COVID-19 outbreak in Egypt using nonlinear autoregressive artificial neural networks. *Process safety and environmental protection*. 2020 Sep 1;141:1-8.
1. Frazer JS, Frazer GR. Analysis of primary care prescription trends in England during the COVID-19 pandemic compared against a predictive model. *Family Medicine and Community Health*. 2021;9(3).
8. Badidi E. Edge AI for early detection of chronic diseases and the spread of infectious diseases: opportunities, challenges, and future directions. *Future Internet*. 2023 Nov 18;15(11):370.
9. Budd J, Miller BS, Manning EM, Lampos V, Zhuang M, Edelstein M, Rees G, Emery VC, Stevens MM, Keegan N, Short MJ. Digital technologies in the public-health response to COVID-19. *Nature medicine*. 2020 Aug;26(8):1183-92. [nature.com](https://www.nature.com)

**CITE AS: Nassimbwa Kabanda D. (2024). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Predicting Epidemics. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND PHARMACY 3(2):26-29. <https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJPP/2024/322629>**