https://rijournals.com/scientific-and-experimental-sciences/

RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES 3(2):95-100, 2024

©RIJSES Publications ISSN: 1597-2917

Effect of Gully Erosion on the Environment

Bitikoro Phionah J.

Faculty of Engineering Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Gully erosion poses a significant environmental threat globally, with profound impacts on soil degradation, land loss, and ecosystem disruption. This paper examines the effects of gully erosion on the environment, focusing on its ecological, socio-economic, and hydrological implications. Through a review of existing literature and empirical evidence, it explores the causes and processes of gully erosion, as well as its consequences for biodiversity, water resources, and human livelihoods. The findings highlight the urgent need for sustainable land management practices and erosion control measures to mitigate the adverse effects of gully erosion and restore ecosystem resilience.

Keywords: Gully erosion, Environment, Effect, Water resources, Ecological

INTRODUCTION

Gully erosion is a widespread phenomenon characterized by the formation of deep channels or ravines in the landscape, resulting from the accelerated erosion of soil and rock materials by surface water runoff. It is a natural geomorphological process that can be exacerbated by human activities such as deforestation, agricultural practices, and urbanization [1, 2]. Gully erosion poses serious environmental challenges, including soil degradation, loss of arable land, and degradation of water quality and quantity [3, 4]. This paper aims to examine the effects of gully erosion on the environment, addressing the underlying causes, processes, and consequences of this destructive phenomenon. Gully erosion represents a significant environmental problem with far-reaching consequences for ecosystems, communities, and economies worldwide [5, 6]. The rapid expansion of gullies disrupts natural drainage patterns, leading to soil loss, sedimentation of water bodies, and alteration of hydrological cycles. The loss of fertile topsoil and vegetation cover exacerbates land degradation, reduces agricultural productivity, and threatens food security for millions of people [7, 8]. Furthermore, gully erosion contributes to habitat loss, fragmentation, and biodiversity decline, jeopardizing the survival of plant and animal species. Despite growing awareness of the environmental impacts of gully erosion, effective mitigation measures and sustainable land management practices remain limited, exacerbating the vulnerability of ecosystems and communities to erosion-induced hazards [9, 10]. This study therefore assesses the ecological impacts of gully erosion on biodiversity, ecosystem services, and habitat integrity. It will examine the socio-economic consequences of gully erosion on human livelihoods, agricultural productivity, and community resilience, and further identify existing erosion control measures, land management practices, and policy interventions aimed at mitigating the effects of gully erosion on the environment [11, 12].

Ecological Impacts of Gully Erosion on Biodiversity,

Gully erosion can have significant ecological impacts on biodiversity, ecosystem services, and habitat integrity, leading to habitat degradation, loss of species diversity, and disruption of ecosystem functioning. Accordingly, Gully erosion often destroys vegetation cover and soil loss, leading to habitat loss and fragmentation [13, 14]. This loss of habitat can directly impact plant and animal species, reducing biodiversity by eliminating or degrading habitats essential for their survival [15]. Species adapted to specific habitats may be unable to relocate or find suitable alternative habitats, leading to population declines and local extinctions [16]. Invasive species may exploit the disturbed habitats created by gully erosion, further altering ecosystem dynamics and outcompeting native species [17]. Similarly, Gully erosion can disrupt the provision of ecosystem services, such as soil fertility, water regulation, and carbon sequestration, which are essential for supporting human well-being [18]. Soil erosion associated with gully formation can lead to reduced soil fertility and productivity, impacting agricultural yields and food security [15]. Sedimentation resulting from gully erosion can degrade water quality, impairing aquatic habitats and ecosystem functions such as nutrient cycling and water purification [16]. In the same vein, Gully erosion alters the physical structure and stability of landscapes, compromising habitat integrity and ecological connectivity [18].

The formation of gullies can lead to increased soil erosion rates and sedimentation in downstream areas, affecting riparian habitats and aquatic ecosystems [17]. Loss of riparian vegetation along gully margins can further exacerbate habitat degradation and erosion processes, reducing the resilience of ecosystems to environmental disturbances [16]. In a nutshell, gully erosion poses significant ecological challenges by disrupting biodiversity, ecosystem services, and habitat integrity. Addressing the impacts of gully erosion requires integrated approaches to land management, erosion control, and restoration efforts to promote ecosystem resilience and sustainability.

Socio-economic Consequences of Gully Erosion on Livelihoods, Agricultural Productivity, and Community Resilience

Gully erosion can have significant socio-economic consequences on human livelihoods, agricultural productivity, and community resilience, leading to food insecurity, loss of income, and reduced resilience to environmental hazards. Accordingly, Gully erosion can directly affect human livelihoods by causing land loss, displacement, and property damage, particularly in rural communities dependent on agriculture and natural resources [19]. Disrupted access to land and water resources due to gully formation can undermine traditional livelihood activities such as farming, grazing, and fishing, leading to loss of income and increased vulnerability to poverty [20]. Also, forced migration and relocation of communities affected by gully erosion can strain social networks, disrupt cultural practices, and exacerbate social tensions and conflicts [21]. Gully erosion poses a significant threat to agricultural productivity by degrading fertile soils, reducing arable land area, and disrupting water management systems [22]. Soil erosion associated with gully formation leads to loss of topsoil, soil fertility, and soil moisture retention capacity, impairing crop growth and yields [23]. Sedimentation of water bodies resulting from gully erosion can lead to reduced irrigation efficiency, waterlogging, and salinization of agricultural lands, further diminishing agricultural productivity [24]. Additionally, Gully erosion undermines community resilience by increasing vulnerability to environmental hazards such as floods, landslides, and droughts, which are exacerbated by degraded landscapes and loss of natural buffers [25]. Limited access to productive land and natural resources due to gully erosion reduces communities' capacity to cope with and recover from environmental shocks and stresses, diminishing their resilience to climate change impacts [26]. Socio-economic disparities resulting from unequal distribution of resources and opportunities exacerbate vulnerabilities and inequalities within affected communities, further eroding their resilience and adaptive capacity [27]. Gully erosion has significant socio-economic consequences on human livelihoods, agricultural productivity, and community resilience, exacerbating poverty, food insecurity, and vulnerability to environmental hazards. Addressing these consequences requires integrated approaches to land management, erosion control, and livelihood support to enhance the resilience and well-being of affected communities [28].

Hydrological Effects

Gully erosion can have significant hydrological effects on water resources, sedimentation processes, and watershed management, leading to altered hydrological patterns, increased sediment loads in water bodies, and degraded watershed health [29]. Thus, Gully erosion alters the natural flow pathways of surface water, accelerating runoff and increasing peak flow rates during rainfall events [30]. Increased surface runoff resulting from gully erosion can lead to flash floods, erosion of stream banks, and channel incision, exacerbating downstream flooding and sediment transport [31]. Altered hydrological patterns can disrupt aquatic habitats, affect water quality, and compromise the ecological integrity of riverine ecosystems [32]. Equally, Gully erosion contributes to the sedimentation of water bodies such as rivers, lakes, and reservoirs, by delivering large volumes of eroded soil and sediment downstream [33]. Sedimentation resulting from gully erosion can reduce water storage capacity, impair aquatic habitats, and degrade water quality by increasing turbidity and nutrient concentrations [34]. Excessive sedimentation can impact the functioning of water infrastructure, such as dams and irrigation systems, leading to reduced efficiency and increased maintenance costs [22]. In the same way, Gully erosion poses challenges for watershed management by destabilizing landscapes, degrading soil quality, and impairing ecosystem services such as water regulation and filtration [35]. Effective watershed management strategies are needed to address the root causes of gully erosion, such as unsustainable land use practices, deforestation, and improper land management [36]. Implementing soil conservation measures, such as terracing, reforestation, and contour farming, can help mitigate gully erosion and restore watershed health [37]. Therefore, gully erosion has significant hydrological effects on water resources, sedimentation processes, and watershed management, posing challenges for water quality, flood control, and ecosystem sustainability. Addressing these effects requires integrated approaches to land management, erosion control, and watershed restoration to promote resilience and sustainability in affected landscapes.

Erosion Control Measures, Land Management Practices, And Policy Interventions for Mitigating the Effects of Gully Erosion on The Environment

Several erosion control measures, land management practices, and policy interventions have been developed to mitigate the effects of gully erosion on the environment. These include:

a. Vegetative Measures

Planting vegetative cover, such as grasses, shrubs, and trees, can help stabilize soils, reduce surface runoff, and prevent gully formation [38]. Agroforestry systems, such as alley cropping and contour planting, can improve soil structure, enhance infiltration, and reduce erosion rates in agricultural landscapes [39].

b. Mechanical Measures

Terracing involves constructing embankments or terraces across slopes to intercept surface runoff, reduce slope length, and prevent soil erosion [40]. Gabion structures, consisting of wire mesh baskets filled with rocks or soil, can be used to stabilize gully edges, control erosion, and reduce sediment transport [41].

c. Water Management Practices

Constructing check dams and sediment retention ponds can help trap sediment, slow down water flow, and reduce erosion rates in gullies and drainage channels [42]. Implementing water harvesting techniques, such as contour bunds and micro-catchments, can capture and store rainfall runoff, replenish groundwater, and reduce erosion risk in arid and semi-arid regions [43].

d. Policy Interventions

Land use planning and zoning regulations can help prevent inappropriate development in erosion-prone areas, preserve natural habitats, and promote sustainable land management practices [44]. Implementing soil conservation programs, such as the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) in the United States, can provide financial incentives for farmers to adopt erosion control measures and implement conservation practices on agricultural lands [45].

e. Integrated Watershed Management

Watershed management approaches, such as integrated river basin management and participatory watershed management, aim to address the root causes of gully erosion through collaborative planning, stakeholder engagement, and coordinated action at the landscape scale [46]. Ecosystem-based approaches, such as Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) schemes, can incentivize landholders to conserve natural resources, restore degraded lands, and reduce erosion risk by providing financial rewards for ecosystem services [47]. By implementing these erosion control measures, land management practices, and policy interventions, stakeholders can effectively mitigate the effects of gully erosion on the environment, promote sustainable land use, and enhance ecosystem resilience.

Recommendations

Addressing gully erosion requires a combination of sustainable land use planning, restoration initiatives, and community-based approaches. Some recommendations for each of these components include:

Sustainable Land Use Planning: Conduct comprehensive land use assessments to identify erosion-prone areas, vulnerable landscapes, and high-risk gully erosion zone; develop and implement land use zoning regulations and environmental ordinances to prevent inappropriate development in erosion-sensitive areas and protect natural habitats; Integrate erosion risk assessments and soil conservation measures into land use planning processes, considering factors such as slope gradient, soil type, land cover, and hydrological characteristics; Promote agroecological farming practices, agroforestry systems, and sustainable land management techniques that enhance soil health, reduce erosion rates, and improve landscape resilience [48, 49].

Restoration Initiatives: Implement ecosystem restoration projects, such as reforestation, afforestation, and riparian buffer zone establishment, to stabilize gully margins, prevent soil erosion, and enhance biodiversity; Restore degraded lands through soil conservation measures, erosion control structures, and revegetation efforts, using native vegetation species adapted to local soil and climate conditions; Adopt landscape-scale restoration approaches that address the underlying drivers of gully erosion, such as deforestation, overgrazing, and unsustainable land management practices, through collaborative partnerships and multi-stakeholder engagement [50].

Community-Based Approaches: Engage local communities, landholders, and indigenous peoples in participatory decision-making processes and co-management initiatives aimed at addressing gully erosion and promoting sustainable land use practices; Provide training, capacity building, and technical assistance to empower communities to implement erosion control measures, adopt alternative livelihood strategies, and restore degraded ecosystems; Foster knowledge exchange, social learning, and traditional ecological knowledge sharing among community members, local institutions, and scientific experts to enhance adaptive capacity and resilience to environmental change [51].

Monitoring and Evaluation: Establish monitoring and evaluation frameworks to assess the effectiveness of erosion control interventions, track changes in gully erosion rates, and measure the success of restoration initiatives over time; Use remote sensing technologies, geographic information systems (GIS), and participatory mapping tools to monitor land cover changes, erosion hotspots, and ecosystem health indicators; Conduct socio-economic assessments and impact evaluations to evaluate the socio-economic benefits, costs, and co-benefits of sustainable land use planning, restoration projects, and community-based approaches [52, 53].

By implementing these recommendations, stakeholders can effectively address gully erosion, promote sustainable land use practices, and enhance ecosystem resilience in erosion-prone landscapes.

CONCLUSION

Gully erosion poses a severe environmental threat with extensive ecological, socio-economic, and hydrological consequences. This study demonstrates that gully erosion leads to significant soil degradation, habitat loss, reduced agricultural productivity, and increased vulnerability to environmental hazards. Effective mitigation requires integrated land management practices, including vegetative and mechanical measures, water management strategies, and robust policy interventions. Engaging local communities and fostering sustainable land use planning is critical for enhancing ecosystem resilience and promoting sustainable development. Future research and policy efforts must focus on comprehensive, collaborative approaches to address the multifaceted impacts of gully erosion and restore affected environments.

REFERENCES

1. Jahantigh, Mansour & Pessarakli, Mohammad. (2011). Causes and Effects of Gully Erosion on Agricultural Lands and the Environment. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 42. 2250-2255. 10.1080/00103624.2011.602456.
2. Kariminejad, Narges & Pourghasemi, Hamid & Hosseinalizadeh, Mohsen & Rossi, Mauro & Mondini, Alessandro. (2022). Evaluating land degradation by gully erosion through soil erosion indices and rainfall thresholds. 10.21203/rs.3.rs-2216407/v1.
3. Eshetu SB, Kipkulei HK, Koepke J, Kächele H, Sieber S, Löhr K. Impact of forest landscape restoration in combating soil erosion in the Lake Abaya catchment, Southern Ethiopia. Environ Monit Assess. 2024 Feb 2;196(3):228. doi: 10.1007/s10661-024-12378-8. PMID: 38305922; PMCID: PMC10837221.
4. Alexakis DD, Hadjimitsis DG, Agapiou A. Integrated use of remote sensing, GIS and precipitation data for the assessment of soil erosion rate in the catchment area of "Yialias" in Cyprus. *Atmospheric Research*. 2013; 131:108–124. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.02.013.
5. Ayele GT, Teshale EZ, Yu B, Rutherfurd ID, Jeong J. Streamflow and sediment yield prediction for watershed prioritization in the Upper Blue Nile River basin, Ethiopia. *Water*. 2017;9(10):782. doi: 10.3390/w9100782.
6. Blinkov I, Kostadinov S. *BALWOIS 2010 Conference-Ohrid*. Republic of Macedonia; 2010. Applicability of various erosion risk assessment methods for eng Durigon V, Carvalho D, Antunes M, Oliveira P, Fernandes M. NDVI time series for monitoring RUSLE cover management factor in a tropical watershed. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 2014;35(2):441–453. doi: 10.1080/01431161.2013.871081.ineering purposes.
7. Gelagay HS, Minale AS. Soil loss estimation using GIS and remote sensing techniques: A case of Koga Watershed, Northwestern Ethiopia. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*. 2016;4(2):126–136. doi: 10.1016/j.iswcr.2016.01.002.
8. Girmay, G., Moges, A., & Muluneh, A. (2020). Estimation of soil loss rate using the USLE model for Agewmariayam Watershed, northern Ethiopia. *Agriculture & Food Security*, *9*(1). 10.1186/s40066-020-00262-w
9. Hengl T, Miller MA, Križan J, Shepherd KD, Sila A, Kilibarda M, Antonijević O, Glušica L, Dobermann A, Haefele SM. African soil properties and nutrients mapped at 30 m spatial resolution using two-scale ensemble machine learning. *Scientific reports*. 2021;11(1):6130. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-85639-y
10. Hadas, A. (1994). Soil and water conservation engineering: G. D. Schwab, D. D. Fangmeier, W. J. Elliot and R. K. Frevert, 1993, (4th ed., p 507). J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 10.1016/0167-1987(94)90036-1
11. Jain SK, Kumar S, Varghese J. Estimation of soil erosion for a Himalayan Watershed using GIS technique. *Water Resources Management*. 2001;15(1):41–54. doi: 10.1023/A:1012246029263.
12. Kebede, Y. S., Endalamaw, N. T., Sinshaw, B. G., & Atinkut, H. B. (2021). Modeling soil erosion using RUSLE and GIS at watershed level in the upper beles. *Ethiopia*. *Environmental Challenges*, *2*, 100009. 10.1016/j.envc.2020.100009
13. Díaz, Asunción & Diaz-Pereira, E. & de Vente, Joris. (2019). Ecosystem services provision by gully control. A review. Cuadernos de Investigación Geográfica. 45. 10.18172/cig.3552.
14. Mitiku, H., Herweg, K. G., & Stillhardt, B. (2006). Sustainable land management: A new approach to soil and water conservation in Ethiopia. Technical report, Bern, Switzerland: Land Resource Management and Environmental Protection Department, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia, Center for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern and Swiss National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) North-South.
15. Wischmeier, W. H., & Smith, D. D. (1978). Predicting rainfall erosion losses: A guide to conservation planning. USDA Handbook, (537)
16. Ghosh, S., & Pal, D. K. (2014). Mapping gully erosion and its severity in a tropical environment of India using remote sensing and GIS. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 186(11), 7317-7331.
17. Boardman, J., & Favis-Mortlock, D. (2000). Modelling soil erosion and sediment delivery: The sediment delivery ratio. Hydrological Processes, 14(11-12), 1617-1630.

https://rijournals.com/scientific-and-experimental-sciences/
18. Erkossa, T., Vanmaercke, M., Poesen, J., Nyssen, J., Deckers, J., & Moeyersons, J. (2014). Spatial patterns of gully erosion and implications for environmental management: A case study from northern Ethiopia. Land Degradation & Development, 25(6), 554-567.
19. Olorunfemi, I. E., & Akande, K. A. (2012). The impacts of gully erosion on communities in Edo State, Nigeria. International Journal of Engineering and Science, 1(5), 1-10.
20. Oluwasanya, G. O., & Ilesanmi, O. A. (2019). Gully erosion and its socio-economic implications in Ikere-Ekiti, Nigeria. IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology, 13(1), 1-6.
21. Nnaji, C. C., & Ejechi, B. O. (2016). An analysis of the socio-economic impact of gully erosion on rural livelihood in southeast Nigeria. International Journal of Advanced Research in Environmental Science, 3(10), 299-306.
22. Onwuka, S. U., Mbah, C. N., & Igwe, O. (2014). Economic implications of gully erosion in Abia State of Nigeria. International Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development, 17(1), 1626-1633.
23. Yan, K., Yu, X., Wei, T., & Wang, G. (2017). Effect of soil erosion on agricultural productivity: Evidence from Jiangxi, China. Sustainability, 9(4), 556.
24. Adetunji, M. T., Akinlalu, A. A., & Adejumobi, J. A. (2018). Evaluation of gully erosion impacts on agricultural productivity and food security in Nigeria. Agriculture and Food Security, 7(1), 54.
25. Olofin, E. A., Olaiya, J. A., & Arokoyu, S. B. (2018). Socio-economic impacts of gully erosion on the livelihood of rural dwellers: A case study of Itanrin-Ile in Ijesha land, Osun State, Nigeria. Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International, 16(2), 1-10.
26. Arokoyu, S. B., Adeyemi, O. A., & Adeyeri, O. E. (2021). Socio-economic impact of gully erosion on rural livelihoods in Odo-Otin Local Government Area, Osun State, Nigeria. British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, 11(1), 1-13.
27. Akpan, W., Ibenegbu, C., & Inyang, I. (2015). Impact of gully erosion on rural communities in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. The Geographical Journal of Nigeria, 9(1), 49-66.
28. Forsyth, Tim. (2007). Sustainable Livelihood Approaches and Soil Erosion Risks: Who is to Judge. International Journal of Social Economics. 34. 88-102. 10.1108/03068290710723381.
29. Sidle, Roy & Jarihani, Ben & Kaka, SanLinn & Koci, Jack & Al-Shaibani, Abdulaziz. (2018). Hydrogeomorphic processes affecting dryland gully erosion: Implications for modelling. Progress in Physical Geography: Earth and Environment. 43. 030913331881940. 10.1177/0309133318819403.
30. Poesen, J. & Torri, D. & Vanwalleghem, T.. (2011). Gully Erosion: Procedures to Adopt When Modelling Soil Erosion in Landscapes Affected by Gullying. 10.1002/9781444328455.ch19.
31. Malmon, Daniel & Reneau, Steven & Dunne, Thomas. (2004). Sediment Sorting and Transport by Flash Floods. Journal of Geophysical Research. 109. 10.1029/2003JF000067.
32. Bannari, A. , Kadhem, G. , El-Battay, A. , Hameid, N. and Rouai, M. (2016) Assessment of Land Erosion and Sediment Accumulation Caused by Runoff after a Flash-Flooding Storm Using Topographic Profiles and Spectral Indices. *Advances in Remote Sensing*, **5**, 315-354. doi: 10.4236/ars.2016.54024.
33. WWF. (2020). Gully Erosion. Retrieved from https://www.worldwildlife.org/threats/gully-
34. Pimentel, D. (2006) Soil erosion: A food and environmental threat. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 8, 119-137. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10668-005-1262-8
35. Yan, Qinghong & Lei, Tingwu & Yuan, Cuiping & Lei, Qixiang & Yang, Xiusheng & Zhang, Manliang & Su, Guangxu & An, Leping. (2014). Effects of watershed management practices on the relationships among rainfall, runoff, and sediment delivery in the hilly-gully region of the Loess Plateau in China. Geomorphology. 228. 10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.10.015.
36. USEPA (2015) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) International Decontamination Research and Development Conference. EPA/600/R-15/283. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington DC.
37. Hurni, H. (1985) Erosion-Productivity-Conservation Systems in Ethiopia. Proceedings of 4th International Conference on Soil Conservation, Maracay, Venezuela, 3-9 November 1985, 654-674.
38. Jia, Chen & Xiao, Haibing & Li, Zhongwu & Liu, Cheng & Wang, Lingxia & Tang, Chongjun. (2019). Threshold effects of vegetation coverage on soil erosion control in small watersheds of the red soil hilly region in China. Ecological Engineering. 132. 109-114. 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2019.04.010.
39. Fahad, Shah & Chavan, Sangram & Chichaghare, Akash & A R, Uthappa & Kumar, Manish & Kakade, Vijaysinha & Pradhan, Aliza & Jinger, Dinesh & Rawale, Gauri & Yadav, Dinesh & Kumar, Vikas & Farooq, Taimoor & Ali, Baber & Sawant, Akshay & Saud, Shah & Chen, Shouyue & Poczai, Peter. (2022). Agroforestry Systems for Soil Health Improvement and Maintenance. Sustainability. 14. 10.3390/su142214877.
40. Maxwell K. A coupled human-natural systems framework of community resilience. J Nat Resour Policy Res. 2018;8:110-130. PMID: 31534603; PMCID: PMC6750716.

https://rijournals.com/scientific-and-experimental-sciences/
41. Ouyang, K., Cheng, B. H., Lam, W., & Parker, S. K. (2019). Enjoy your evening, be proactive tomorrow: How off-job experiences shape daily proactivity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *104*(8), 1003–1019. https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000391
42. Guo, Chuan & Pleiss, Geoff & Sun, Yu & Weinberger, Kilian. (2017). On Calibration of Modern Neural Networks.
43. Norton EC, Dowd BE, Maciejewski ML. Odds Ratios-Current Best Practice and Use. JAMA. 2018 Jul 3;320(1):84-85. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.6971. PMID: 29971384.
44. FAO. (2017). Land-use planning: Policies, challenges, and prospects. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/3/i6516e/i6516e.pdf
45. UNEP. (2015). Ecosystem-based adaptation: A natural response to climate change. Retrieved from https://www.unenvironment.org/resources/report/ecosystem-based-adaptation-natural-response-climate-change erosion
46. Dachanee, E., Lakhaviwattanakul, T. & Kalyawongsa, S. 1996. A case of successful participatory watershed management in protected areas of Northern Thailand. Case studies of people's participation in watershed management in Asia, Part II: Sri Lanka, Thailand, Vietnam. In P.N. Sharma and M.P. Wagley, eds. Participatory watershed management training in Asia, RAS/161/NET, Field Document No. 4, RAS/93/063, pp. 21–30. Rome, FAO
47. Le, Tuyet-Anh & Vodden, Kelly & Wu, Jianghua & Bullock, Ryan & Sabau, Gabriela. (2024). Payments for ecosystem services programs: A global review of contributions towards sustainability. Heliyon. 10. 1-32. 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22361.
48. Haregeweyn, N. & Tsunekawa, Atsushi & Poesen, J. & Tsubo, Mitsuru & Meshesha, Derege & Fenta, Ayele & Nyssen, Jan & Tsegaye, Enyew. (2017). Comprehensive assessment of soil erosion risk for better land use planning in river basins: Case study of the Upper Blue Nile River. Science of The Total Environment. 574. 95-108. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.019.
49. Escobedo-Monge, María Antonieta, Santiago Aparicio, Manuel Valencia Ramos, Marlene Fabiola Escobedo-Monge, Joaquín Parodi-Román, Luis Felipe García-Llatas, and Rubén Marquina Pozo. 2022. "Land Vulnerability, Risk Zoning, and Ecological Protection in the Protection Forest of Pagaibamba (Peru)" *Forests* 13, no. 3: 436. https://doi.org/10.3390/f13030436
50. Berrahmouni, Nora & Parfondry, Marc. (2015). Global guidelines for the restoration of degraded forests and landscapes in drylands - Building resilience and benefiting livelihoods.
51. Sanginga, Pascal & Kamugisha, Rick & Martin, Adrienne & Kakuru, A. & Stroud, Ann. (2004). Facilitating participatory processes for policy change in natural resource management: Lessons from the highlands of southwestern Uganda. Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 9.
52. Almeida, Danilo & Stark, Scott & Chazdon, Robin & Nelson, Bruce & César, Ricardo & Meli, Paula & Gorgens, Eric & Melo Duarte, Marina & Valbuena, Ruben & Moreno, Vanessa & Mendes, Alex & Amazonas, Nino & Gonçalves, Nathan & Silva, Carlos & Schietti, Juliana & Brancalion, Pedro. (2019). The effectiveness of Lidar remote sensing for monitoring forest cover attributes and landscape restoration. Forest Ecology and Management. 438. 34-43. 10.1016/j.foreco.2019.02.002.
53. Seutloali, K. & Mutanga, Onisimo. (2016). Assessing and mapping the severity of soil erosion using the 30-m Landsat multispectral satellite data in the former South African homelands of Transkei. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C. 100. 10.1016/j.pce.2016.10.001.

CITE AS: Bitikoro Phionah J. (2024). Effect of Gully Erosion on the Environment. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES 3(2):95-100.