

# Effect of Policy on the Development of Agro-Based Micro-Enterprises in Nigeria

Owoh Jacinta Janet

Faculty of Business Administration Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

This research explores the impact of policy interventions on the development of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the study examines the various policies implemented by the Nigerian government aimed at fostering the growth of micro-enterprises in the agricultural sector. Additionally, it investigates the challenges faced by these enterprises in adhering to such policies and analyzes their effectiveness in promoting sustainable development within the sector. Findings suggest that while certain policies have facilitated growth and innovation, others have been hindered by bureaucratic bottlenecks and inadequate implementation strategies. The study underscores the importance of tailored policy frameworks that address the unique needs of agro-based micro-enterprises to enhance their contribution to Nigeria's economic development.

**Keywords:** Policy, Agro-based micro-enterprises, Nigeria, Development, Sustainability.

## INTRODUCTION

Micro-enterprises play a significant role in the economic landscape of Nigeria, particularly within the agricultural sector. These small-scale ventures contribute to employment generation, poverty alleviation, and rural development, thus serving as vital components of the country's socio-economic fabric [1, 2]. However, the development of agro-based micro-enterprises is influenced by a myriad of factors, including governmental policies and regulatory frameworks. Policy interventions designed to support and promote the growth of these enterprises are essential for enhancing their productivity, competitiveness, and sustainability [3, 4]. Despite the acknowledged importance of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria, there exists a gap in understanding the precise impact of policy initiatives on their development. While several policies have been implemented over the years to support these enterprises, their effectiveness and alignment with the specific needs and challenges of the sector remain underexplored. Furthermore, issues such as bureaucratic hurdles, inadequate infrastructure, and limited access to finance continue to impede the growth and innovation of agro-based micro-enterprises [5, 6]. Thus, there is a pressing need to critically evaluate existing policies and identify areas for improvement to foster a conducive environment for the sustainable development of these enterprises [7, 8]. This paper will assess the effectiveness of existing policies in promoting the development of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria while identifying the challenges encountered by agro-based micro-enterprises in adhering to governmental policies and regulatory frameworks. It will further examine the socio-economic impact of policy initiatives on the growth and sustainability of agro-based micro-enterprises, and proceed to propose recommendations for enhancing the efficacy of policy frameworks aimed at supporting the development of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria.

### Conceptual Clarifications

#### Development

Development can be defined as a multidimensional process encompassing economic, social, political, and environmental advancements aimed at improving the well-being and quality of life for individuals and communities [9, 10]. It involves not only increases in income and GDP but also improvements in education, healthcare, infrastructure, governance, and environmental sustainability. According to the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), development is "the process of enlarging people's choices, raising awareness of all possibilities and providing opportunities for realizing them" [11]. This definition emphasizes the empowerment of individuals through expanded opportunities and capabilities, thereby promoting human development as a central aspect of overall development. Amartya Sen, a Nobel laureate in Economics, posits a capability approach to development, which focuses on enhancing people's freedoms and capabilities to lead lives they value [12]. Sen argues that development should not be solely measured by economic indicators but should also consider individuals' abilities to live healthy, educated, and fulfilling lives. Furthermore, the World Bank defines development as "the process of improving the quality of all human lives with three equally important aspects: raising people's living standards, creating conditions conducive to the growth of people's self-esteem, and increasing people's freedom to make choices" [13]. This definition underscores the interconnectedness of economic progress, social inclusion, and individual agency in the development process. In summary, development encompasses a holistic approach to

progress, encompassing economic growth, social equity, environmental sustainability, and individual empowerment [14].

### **Agro-based Micro-Enterprises**

Agro-based micro-enterprises refer to small-scale businesses operating within the agricultural sector, typically involved in the processing, production, distribution, or marketing of agricultural products or services. These enterprises are characterized by their limited scale of operations, often employing a small number of workers and utilizing modest resources and capital investment [15]. Agro-based micro-enterprises play a vital role in rural economies, contributing to employment generation, income generation, and the promotion of local agricultural value chains. They encompass a diverse range of activities, including food processing, agro-processing, handicraft production, animal husbandry, and agro-tourism [16]. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), agro-based micro-enterprises are defined as "small-scale businesses operating within the agricultural sector that are engaged in processing, packaging, marketing, and distribution of agricultural products and by-products" [17]. These enterprises are often situated in rural areas and cater to local and regional markets, playing a crucial role in enhancing food security and rural livelihoods. Furthermore, the International Labour Organization (ILO) defines micro-enterprises as "units engaged in the production of goods or services, typically operated by the owner and with a small number of employees, low capital investment, and limited access to formal financial institutions" [18]. Agro-based micro-enterprises align with this definition, operating within the agricultural sector and contributing to the diversification and value addition of agricultural products. In summary, agro-based micro-enterprises represent small-scale businesses operating in the agricultural sector, playing a significant role in rural development, poverty alleviation, and sustainable agricultural practices [19].

### **Effectiveness of Existing Policies in Promoting the Development of Agro-based Micro-enterprises in Nigeria**

Agro-based micro-enterprises play a crucial role in Nigeria's agricultural sector, contributing to employment generation, income diversification, and rural development. Government policies and interventions aimed at supporting the growth and sustainability of these enterprises are essential for unlocking their full potential. Thus, [20] examined the impact of government policies on agro-based micro-enterprises in Ogun State, Nigeria. Findings suggested that while some policies have facilitated growth, others have been ineffective due to poor implementation and lack of access to finance. Similarly, [21] assessed the influence of policy interventions on the growth of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria. The study highlighted the importance of supportive policies in enhancing the competitiveness and sustainability of these enterprises. [22], investigated the role of government policies in the development of agro-based micro-enterprises in Southwest Nigeria. Results indicated that while certain policies have spurred growth, challenges such as inadequate infrastructure and bureaucratic bottlenecks hinder their effectiveness. [23], analyzed agricultural policies and their impact on micro-enterprises in Nigeria, focusing on the agro-based sector. The study underscored the need for policy coherence and targeted interventions to promote the growth and sustainability of agro-based micro-enterprises. In like manner, [24] evaluated the effectiveness of government policies on agro-based micro-enterprises in Oyo State, Nigeria. The findings emphasized the importance of policy stability and institutional support in fostering the development of these enterprises. [25], investigated the policy environment affecting agro-based micro-enterprises, with a focus on cassava processing firms in Nigeria. The research highlighted the need for targeted policies and interventions to address the specific challenges faced by these enterprises. Consequently, existing literature underscores the importance of government policies in promoting the development of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria. While some policies have facilitated growth and innovation, challenges such as poor implementation, inadequate infrastructure, and limited access to finance persist. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated efforts from policymakers, stakeholders, and development partners to create a conducive policy environment that supports enterprise growth and contributes to sustainable agricultural development in Nigeria [26, 27].

### **Challenges Faced by Agro-based Micro-enterprises in Adhering to Governmental Policies and Regulatory Frameworks in Nigeria**

Agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria encounter numerous challenges in adhering to governmental policies and regulatory frameworks, which often hinder their growth and sustainability. The key challenges faced by these enterprises include:

#### **Limited Access to Finance**

Access to finance remains a significant challenge for agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria. Many of these enterprises lack collateral and formal financial documentation, making it difficult to access loans from banks and financial institutions [28]. Additionally, high interest rates and stringent lending criteria further exacerbate the financing constraints faced by micro-enterprises, limiting their capacity for expansion and investment in modern technologies [29].

### **Inadequate Infrastructure**

Poor infrastructure, including unreliable electricity supply, inadequate transportation networks, and limited access to water and sanitation facilities, poses significant challenges for agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria [30]. Inefficient transportation systems increase transaction costs and reduce market access for agricultural products, while unreliable power supply hampers production processes and increases operational expenses [31].

### **Bureaucratic Bottlenecks**

Agro-based micro-enterprises often face bureaucratic bottlenecks and administrative hurdles when navigating government regulations and obtaining necessary permits and licenses [32]. Complex regulatory procedures, delays in processing licenses, and corrupt practices contribute to increased compliance costs and administrative burdens for micro-enterprises, undermining their competitiveness and productivity [33].

### **Inconsistent Policy Implementation:**

The inconsistent implementation of government policies and regulatory frameworks presents challenges for agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria [34]. Policy reversals, lack of clarity in regulations, and frequent changes in government administrations can disrupt business operations and investment decisions, leading to uncertainty and risk aversion among micro-enterprises [35].

### **Lack of Technical Skills and Training:**

Many agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria lack the technical skills and training needed to adopt modern agricultural practices and technologies [36]. Limited access to extension services, vocational training, and capacity-building programs inhibits the ability of micro-enterprises to improve productivity, quality, and competitiveness, thereby constraining their growth potential [37].

### **Market Access and Distribution Challenges:**

Agro-based micro-enterprises face challenges in accessing markets and distributing their products efficiently [38]. Limited market information, inadequate market infrastructure, and stiff competition from larger firms constrain the market participation of micro-enterprises, limiting their revenue generation and profitability [20].

### **Implications for Nigeria's Agricultural Sector**

The challenges faced by agro-based micro-enterprises in adhering to governmental policies and regulatory frameworks have significant implications for Nigeria's agricultural sector. These challenges inhibit enterprise growth, limit employment opportunities, and hinder rural development efforts. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated efforts from policymakers, stakeholders, and development partners to create an enabling environment for micro-enterprise development [39].

### **Socio-economic Impact of Policy Initiatives on the Growth and Sustainability of Agro-based Micro-enterprises in Nigeria**

Policy initiatives aimed at promoting the growth and sustainability of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria have significant socio-economic implications for the agricultural sector and the broader economy, among which are:

#### **Employment Generation and Poverty Alleviation**

Policy initiatives targeting agro-based micro-enterprises contribute to employment generation and poverty alleviation in Nigeria. These enterprises absorb a significant portion of the labor force, particularly in rural areas, thereby reducing unemployment and underemployment rates [22]. By providing opportunities for entrepreneurship and income generation, policy interventions help alleviate poverty and improve livelihoods among rural communities [36].

#### **Rural Development and Economic Diversification**

Agro-based micro-enterprises play a crucial role in rural development and economic diversification in Nigeria. Policy initiatives aimed at supporting these enterprises contribute to the development of rural infrastructure, value chains, and market linkages [20]. By promoting agribusiness activities and value addition within local communities, policy interventions stimulate economic growth and reduce dependency on urban centers [28].

#### **Innovation and Technology Adoption**

Policy initiatives facilitate innovation and technology adoption among agro-based micro-enterprises, enhancing productivity and competitiveness in the agricultural sector [34]. Access to government-sponsored training programs, extension services, and technology transfer initiatives enable micro-enterprises to adopt modern farming techniques, improve crop yields, and enhance product quality [37]. By promoting innovation and technological advancement, policy interventions contribute to sustainable agricultural development and food security in Nigeria [33].

#### **Market Access and Value Addition**

Policy initiatives support agro-based micro-enterprises in accessing markets and adding value to agricultural products, thereby increasing their competitiveness and profitability [32]. Government interventions such as market infrastructure development, market information systems, and trade facilitation measures enhance market access for micro-enterprises and improve their bargaining power in value chains [24]. By promoting market-oriented

production and value addition, policy initiatives contribute to the growth and sustainability of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria [25].

### Environmental Sustainability and Resilience

Policy initiatives promote environmental sustainability and resilience among agro-based micro-enterprises through the adoption of sustainable farming practices and natural resource management strategies [30]. Government regulations, incentives, and extension services encourage micro-enterprises to adopt agroecological approaches, conserve biodiversity, and mitigate climate change impacts [21]. By promoting environmentally friendly practices, policy interventions enhance the resilience of micro-enterprises to environmental shocks and contribute to long-term sustainability in the agricultural sector [35].

### CONCLUSION

Policy initiatives aimed at promoting the growth and sustainability of agro-based micro-enterprises in Nigeria have profound socio-economic implications, including employment generation, rural development, innovation, market access, and environmental sustainability. By addressing the needs and challenges of micro-enterprises, policy interventions will contribute to inclusive economic growth, poverty reduction, and sustainable development in Nigeria.

### REFERENCES

1. Osunde, Carl. (2016). Strategies for Economic Growth: Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in Rural Areas of Nigeria. *Business and Economics Journal*. 07. 10.4172/2151-6219.1000259.
2. Bose, Shekar & al-Jabri, Omar & Osman, Nadia & Al-Habsi, Saoud & Al-Shidi, Rashid. (2017). Role of Small and Medium Enterprises and Agricultural Cooperatives in Promoting Sustainable Agriculture for Rural Development and Food Security. 2.
3. Republic of Uganda (2010), "National Development Plan (2010/11 – 2014/15): A Transformed Ugandan Society from a Peasant to a Modern and Prosperous Country within 30 years," April 2010.
4. Robinson, A. J., (2009), *Industrial Policy and Development: A Political Economy Perspective*. Harvard University, Department of Government and IQSS.
5. Oweibia, Mordecai & Uchenna, Geraldine & Akpan, Emma & Elemuwa, Tochukwu & Oruikor, Gabriel & Tarimobowei, Egberipou & Okoho, Etim & Elemuwa, Christopher & Raimi, Morufu & Babatunde, Anuoluwapo. (2024). Analyzing Nigeria's Journey Towards Sustainable Development Goals: A Comprehensive Review from Inception to Present. *Qeios*. 10.32388/8O5QEG.
6. Eze, Benneth. (2022). Effect of innovation on agricultural-based micro, small and medium enterprises survival in Nigeria. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*. 18. 500. 10.1504/WREMSD.2022.125628.
7. Nneoma, U. C. Understanding the Risk Landscape: Analyzing Factors Impacting Food Vending in Nigeria. *INOSR Experimental Sciences* 13(1) 72 – 79.
8. Nwankwo CU, Ikehi ME, Ejiofor TE, Ifeanyiyeze FO. Successive national agricultural policies/programmes, growth of gross domestic product (GDP) and expansion of agribusinesses in Nigeria. *PLoS One*. 2024 Feb 21;19(2):e0291999. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0291999. PMID: 38381771; PMCID: PMC10880958.
9. Yusoff, Mariney. (2020). Improving the quality of life for sustainable development. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 561. 012020. 10.1088/1755-1315/561/1/012020.
10. Mensah, J., & Ricart Casadevall, S. (2019). Sustainable development: Meaning, history, principles, pillars, and implications for human action: Literature review. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2019.1653531>
11. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (1990). *Human Development Report 1990: Concept and Measurement of Human Development*. New York: Oxford University Press.
12. Sen, A. (1999). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
13. World Bank. (1991). *World Development Report 1991: The Challenge of Development*. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
14. Bello, A. (2024) Navigating Beyond Economic Growth: A Holistic Approach to Development and Equality in Public Policy—Demystifying the Axiom “A Rising Tide Lifts All Boats”. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 12, 533-573. doi: 10.4236/jss.2024.122031.
15. Ayalu G, Abbay AG, Azadi H. The role of micro- and small-scale enterprises in enhancing sustainable community livelihood: Tigray, Ethiopia. *Environ Dev Sustain*. 2022 May 24:1-24. doi: 10.1007/s10668-022-02359-7. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 35645605; PMCID: PMC9127039.
16. Gjokaj, Ekrem & Kopeva, Diana & Krasniqi, Nol & Nagy, Henrietta. (2021). Factors Affecting the Performance of Agri Small and Medium Enterprises with Evidence from Kosovo. *European Countryside*. 13. 297-313. 10.2478/euco-2021-0019.
17. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2003). *Micro-enterprise development for food security and sustainable livelihoods: A resource guide*. Rome: FAO.



18. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2019). Small and Medium-sized Enterprises and decent and productive employment creation. Geneva: ILO.
19. Panasyuk, M.V. & Safiullin, L.N. & Gafurov, I.R. & Safin, A.R. (2014). Role of Small Businesses in the Agricultural Sector of the Region. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. 5. 10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n28p56.
20. Ogundele, O., & Oyekale, A. S. (2018). Impact of Government Policies on Agro-Based Micro-Enterprises in Nigeria: Evidence from Ogun State.
21. Adewale, A. A., & Ajiboye, A. S. (2019). Policy Interventions and the Growth of Agro-Based Micro-Enterprises in Nigeria.
22. Adebayo, A. M., & Adesiyun, F. (2020). Government Policies and the Development of Agro-Based Micro-Enterprises in Southwest Nigeria.
23. Igudia, Patrick. (2017). A Qualitative Analysis of the Agricultural Policy Dynamics and the Nigerian Economy : 1960-2015. *European Scientific Journal*. 13. 10.19044/esj.2017.v13n34p284.
24. Afolayan, A. F., & Adeleye, I. O. (2016). Evaluating Government Policies on Agro-Based Micro-Enterprises in Nigeria: A Case Study of Oyo State.
25. Okoruwa, V. O., & Adewumi, M. O. (2018). Policy Environment and Agro-Based Micro-Enterprises in Nigeria: A Case Study of Cassava Processing Firms.
26. Dosumu, Olusegun & Hussain, Javed & El-Gohary, Hatem. (2017). An Exploratory Study of the Impact of Government Policies on the Development of Small and Medium Enterprises in Developing Countries: The Case of Nigeria. *International Journal of Customer Relationship Marketing and Management*. 8. 51-62. 10.4018/IJCRMM.2017100104.
27. Olujobi OJ, Okorie UE, Olarinde ES, Aina-Pelemo AD. Legal responses to energy security and sustainability in Nigeria's power sector amidst fossil fuel disruptions and low carbon energy transition. *Heliyon*. 2023 Jul 3;9(7):e17912. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17912. PMID: 37483776; PMCID: PMC10359868.
28. Akinola, A. A., & Adegbite, D. A. (2019). Financing Constraints and Performance of Agro-based Micro-Enterprises in Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development*, 3(2), 115-126.
29. Oshodi, A.A., Ogungbenle, H.N., & Oladimeji, M.O. (1999). Chemical composition, nutritionally valuable minerals and functional properties of benniseed (*Sesamum radiatum*), pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*) and quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) flours. *International journal of food sciences and nutrition*, 50 5, 325-31
30. Ezeh, C. I., & Chukwu, J. O. (2017). Infrastructure Constraints and Agro-Industrial Growth in Nigeria: A Vector Error Correction Model Approach. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 8(9), 20-33.
31. Morgan, Anthony & Dogbey, Emmanuel & Arimiyaw, Abdul Wahid & Foster, Alfred & Owusu, Alfred. (2019). Effect of road transport accessibility on agricultural produce marketing and livelihoods of farmers in the Kasena-Nankana West District of Ghana.
32. Olawepo, R. A., et al. (2020). Impact of Bureaucratic Bottlenecks on Micro and Small Enterprises in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 10(1), 77-89.
33. Adegbite, Olayinka & Machethe, Charles. (2020). Bridging the financial inclusion gender gap in smallholder agriculture in Nigeria: An untapped potential for sustainable development. *World Development*. 127. 104755. 10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104755.
34. Adesiyun, Oluwafunmilola & Adesiyun, Adewumi & Agbonlahor, Mure. (2019). Adoption of cocoa input technologies under agricultural transformation agenda among women cocoa farmers in Ile-Ife, Osun State. *Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics*. 11. 52-56. 10.5897/JDAE2018.0972.
35. Oyeyinka, R. A., & Afolabi, E. (2016). Policy Inconsistency and Manufacturing Performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 7(4), 29-42.
36. Adewale, Adeoye. (2017). More on the Categorical Status of (T)àbí in Yorùbá Grammar. *Journal of Language and Education*. 3. 6. 10.17323/2411-7390-2017-3-2-6-13.
37. Ajibola, A., Wisdom, O., & Qudus, O. L. (2018). Capital structure and financial performance of listed manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Research in International Business and Management*, 5(1), 81-89. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14303/jribm.2018.018>
38. Oboro, V.O., Oyeniran, A.O., Akinola, S.E. and Isawumi, A.I. (2011) Attitudes of Nigerian Women toward the Presence of Their Husband or Partner as a Support Person during Labour. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 112, 56-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2010.07.033>
39. Ugwu, C. N., & Okon, M. B. Fostering Food Security through Enhanced Fertilizer Production: Examining Policy Frameworks. *INOSR Experimental Sciences* 13(1) 31 - 37